

From: [Murphy, Andy](#)
To: [Michael McLaughlin](#); [Phil Olbrechts](#)
Cc: [Spence, Michael A.](#); [Kristina Nelson-Gross](#); [Robert Bilow](#); [Bremer, LeAnne M.](#); [Tellina Sandaine](#)
Subject: RE: CDR20-001 MAT Appeal Hearing Cancelled.
Date: Monday, October 5, 2020 3:32:28 PM

Mr. Olbrechts,

As Parkwood essentially joined in SOS's brief for Parkwood's opening brief in response to the code changes, the Tribe incorporates its response to SOS's brief as the Tribe's response to Parkwood.

Best,
Andy

Andy Murphy

Attorney (Pronouns: he/ him/ his)

Miller Nash Graham & Dunn LLP

Pier 70 | 2801 Alaskan Way - Suite 300 | Seattle, Washington 98121

Direct: 206.777.7426 | Office: 206.624.8300 | Fax: 206.340.9599

E-Mail | Web | Social | Blogs

*We are monitoring the legal and regulatory landscape in response to the **COVID-19** crisis. To visit our resource page, [please click this link](#).*

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message may contain confidential or privileged information. If you have received this message by mistake, please do not review, disclose, copy, or distribute the e-mail. Instead, please notify us immediately by replying to this message or telephoning us. Thank you.

From: Michael McLaughlin <michael@mdmwalaw.com>
Sent: Friday, October 2, 2020 1:44 PM
To: Phil Olbrechts <olbrechtslaw@gmail.com>
Cc: Spence, Michael A. <mspence@helsell.com>; Kristina Nelson-Gross <knelson-gross@sequimwa.gov>; Robert Bilow <millrow26@gmail.com>; Murphy, Andy <Andy.Murphy@MillerNash.com>; Bremer, LeAnne M. <LeAnne.Bremer@MillerNash.com>; Tellina Sandaine <tsandaine@sequimwa.gov>
Subject: RE: CDR20-001 MAT Appeal Hearing Cancelled.

Mr. Olbrechts,

I appreciate the congratulations both from you and on behalf of the City regarding my son's birth.

Parkwood will not be submitting additional briefing concerning the City's interim/emergency ordinance. Instead, it supports and will rely on the legal analysis submitted by Save our Sequim on this issue. Attached is a letter prepared by my client in my absence confirming the same.

Thank you,

Michael D. McLaughlin

Attorney at Law

Michael D. McLaughlin, PLLC

4114 N 10th St

Tacoma WA 98406

PH: (253) 686-9786



PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL: THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS TRANSMISSION IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IT IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU ARE NOT AUTHORIZED TO REVIEW THIS E-MAIL AND THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE (253) 686-9786 (COLLECT IF NECESSARY). THANK YOU.

From: Phil Olbrechts <olbrechtslaw@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2020 6:39 AM

To: Michael McLaughlin <michael@mdmwlaw.com>

Cc: Spence, Michael A. <mspence@helsell.com>; Kristina Nelson-Gross <knelson-gross@sequimwa.gov>; Robert Bilow <millrow26@gmail.com>; Andy Murphy <andy.murphy@millernash.com>; LeAnne Bremer <leanne.bremer@millernash.com>; Tellina Sandaine <tsandaine@sequimwa.gov>

Subject: Re: CDR20-001 MAT Appeal Hearing Cancelled.

Congratulations to Mr. McLaughlin. I think the Tribe and City will have to concede that Mr. McLaughlin's good fortune was not designed to delay these proceedings.

Nonetheless, it would not be reasonable to the Tribe to wait until after October 19, 2020 to resolve the jurisdictional issues of this case. More than 120 days have already elapsed since the last set of appeals were filed. Further, SOS likely has the same interests as Packwood in promoting Council jurisdiction over the appeals, so SOS has likely already covered Packwood's arguments in its briefing over the emergency ordinance. In any event, if Packwood would still like to add to the briefing, it can do so by 5 pm this Friday, 10/2/20. Responses due 5 pm 10/5/20 and replies 10/6/20. I will try to at the least have an abbreviated ruling on all dispositive issues out by 10/7/20. If at that point the appeals haven't all been dismissed and I still otherwise have jurisdiction we'll discuss scheduling shortly thereafter.

I will likely approve any alternate schedule mutually agreed upon by all parties.

On Tue, Sep 29, 2020 at 4:00 PM Michael McLaughlin <michael@mdmwlaw.com> wrote:

Hi Mr. Olbrechts,

My wife went into labor over the weekend and delivered our child yesterday. We were just released from the hospital earlier today and, while I expect my son will be healthy, there were a few minor complications that have required scheduling additional follow-up visits and procedures more than are typically required. In fact, I am at one right now. For this reason, I have been unable to review the City's actions this weekend or prepare a response on Parkwood's behalf by today's deadline.

As I stated in June to the City and during our scheduling call, I am unavailable at this time continuing until Monday, October 19, 2020.

Thank you,

Michael

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 27, 2020, at 9:58 AM, Spence, Michael A. <mspence@helsell.com> wrote:

Thank you, and sorry to bother you on a Sunday.

Michael A. Spence | Helsell Fetterman LLP

1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4200
Seattle, WA 98154
direct | 206.689.2167
fax | 206.340.0902
mspence@helsell.com
www.helsell.com

Legal Assistant:

Lisa Blakeney

Direct | 206.689.2115
lblakeney@helsell.com

Coronavirus Notice: *At Helsell Fetterman, we are committed to providing our clients with quality legal services. We have a mobile workforce plan in place and regardless of any suggested or mandated precautionary actions, we will continue to provide legal services. The health, safety, and well-being of our clients, employees, and families is a priority, and we ask for your patience as illness and travel restrictions may cause delays in our turnaround times. If you have a deadline due to a medical procedure or travel plans, please let us know as soon as possible, and we can let you know if we are able to meet your timeline. We thank you in advance for your understanding and patience as we navigate through these unfolding developments.*

From: olbrechtslaw@gmail.com <olbrechtslaw@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, September 27, 2020 9:56 AM

To: Spence, Michael A. <mspence@helsell.com>

Cc: Kristina Nelson-Gross <knelson-gross@sequimwa.gov>; Robert Bilow <millrow26@gmail.com>; Andy Murphy <andy.murphy@millernash.com>; LeAnne Bremer <leanne.bremer@millernash.com>; Michael McLaughlin <michael@mdmwlaw.com>; Tellina Sandaine <tsandaine@sequimwa.gov>

Subject: Re: CDR20-001 MAT Appeal Hearing Cancelled.

That would be fair given the change in circumstances, but I don't want to create any more delay. Any supplemental briefing will be due by 5 pm Tuesday, 9/29/20, responses 5 pm Wednesday 9/30/20 and replies 5 pm Thursday, 10/1/20. If any parties have conflicts with this schedule (which would be entirely understandable), please let me know. Once I get information on the publication date of the ordinance I'll have a better understanding of how much time we have to resolve prehearing issues before holding the hearing.

On Sun, Sep 27, 2020 at 9:13 AM Spence, Michael A. <mspence@helsell.com> wrote:

Mr. Examiner:

Will you accept a short supplemental brief in response to what the City did yesterday?

Michael A. Spence | Helsell Fetterman LLP

1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4200
Seattle, WA 98154
direct | 206.689.2167
fax | 206.340.0902
mspence@helsell.com
www.helsell.com

Legal Assistant:

Lisa Blakeney

Direct | 206.689.2115
lblakeney@helsell.com

Coronavirus Notice: *At Helsell Fetterman, we are committed to providing our clients with quality legal services. We have a mobile workforce plan in place and regardless of any suggested or mandated precautionary actions, we will continue to provide legal services. The health, safety, and well-being of our clients, employees, and families is a priority, and we ask for your patience as illness and travel restrictions may cause delays in our turnaround times. If you have a deadline due to a medical procedure or travel plans, please let us know as soon as possible, and we can let you know if we are able to meet your timeline. We thank you in advance for your understanding and patience as we navigate through these unfolding developments.*

From: olbrechtslaw@gmail.com <olbrechtslaw@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, September 26, 2020 8:26 PM

To: Kristina Nelson-Gross <knelson-gross@sequimwa.gov>

Cc: Robert Bilow <millrow26@gmail.com>; Andy Murphy <andy.murphy@millernash.com>; LeAnne Bremer <leanne.bremer@millernash.com>; Michael McLaughlin <michael@mdmwalaw.com>; Spence, Michael A. <mspence@helsell.com>; Tellina Sandaine <tsandaine@sequimwa.gov>

Subject: Re: CDR20-001 MAT Appeal Hearing Cancelled.

Attached is my order apparently rendered moot by the Council's amendments this evening. I was literally less than an hour from finishing the order when I received the notice. I'm sorry to see the Council had to work on a Saturday evening to address this issue, but as I mentioned earlier it's best this issue be fully addressed now as opposed to on judicial appeal after everyone has spent considerable time and money in what would likely have been found to be the wrong decision making forum. I've still issued the order to provide a full accounting for why I dismissed the September 28 hearing.

I have not yet seen the amendments. Assuming the amendments grant examiner jurisdiction over consolidated SEPA appeals, we will set a new appeal hearing date early next week. I should have my ruling on the remaining dispositive motion issues done by the end of next week. Note that the mootness issue raised by the Tribe is addressed in the attached moot order.

On Sat, Sep 26, 2020 at 7:25 PM Kristina Nelson-Gross <knelson-gross@sequimwa.gov> wrote:

Good evening Mr. Olbrechts,

Please be advised that the City Council held a Special Meeting tonight and passed an interim controls ordinance transferring all A-1 and A-2 appeals to the Hearing Examiner. The ordinance will be effective five days after publication. I will send you a signed copy of the ICO for your records once it is available. Please let me know if you have any further questions.

Kind regards,

Kristina Nelson-Gross
City Attorney

<image001.png>

From: Phil Olbrechts <olbrechtslaw@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2020 10:13 PM

To: Robert Bilow <millrow26@gmail.com>

Cc: Andy Murphy <andy.murphy@millernash.com>; Kristina Nelson-Gross

<knelson-gross@sequimwa.gov>; LeAnne Bremer

<leanne.bremer@millernash.com>; Michael McLaughlin

<michael@mdmwlaw.com>; Michael Spence <mspence@helsell.com>; Tellina

Sandaine <tsandaine@sequimwa.gov>

Subject: Re: CDR20-001 MAT Appeal Hearing Cancelled.

The hearing scheduled for September 28-30, 2020 is cancelled. I do not have jurisdiction over consolidated permit hearings that include a SEPA appeal. I will be issuing an interlocutory order that outlines the legal basis of this conclusion within the next few days. I will subsequently issue a final decision that addresses the other jurisdictional arguments made by the parties, to avoid remands in case a reviewing court disagrees with my determination.

Given that one of my objectives as an examiner is to manage an efficient review process, I am disappointed I have to make this ruling. Unfortunately, there is little room for reasonable disagreement on the jurisdictional issue. If I were to retain jurisdiction, a reviewing court would very likely overturn my final decision and remand the appeal back to the City Council to do the entire process over again. Ultimately, correcting course at this time is the only way to prevent what would otherwise be an even more significant unnecessary loss of time and money for all parties. If my ruling is contrary to the wishes of the City Council, the Council may still have the option of amending its code to delegate decision making responsibility on SEPA appeals to the hearing examiner. Procedural rules, which likely includes appellate jurisdiction, is not subject to the vested rights doctrine. *See Graham Neighborhood Ass'n v. F.G. Associates*, 162 Wn. App. 98 (2011).

Despite one poorly written SMC section to the contrary, the City's permit processing framework overall is designed to limit Hearing Examiner review to ministerial permits and minor permitting decisions. The City Council has reserved all significant discretionary decision making to itself. When SEPA came out in the 1970s the courts early on expressly recognized that SEPA can be used to change ministerial permits into discretionary ones, by giving decision makers broad authority to mitigate environmental impacts. This is likely why not one but two SMC permit processing provisions expressly assign SEPA appellate review to the City Council.

As to arguments brought up by the City and Tribe, the Packwood and SOS appeals were properly consolidated with a final underlying government decision, i.e. the City's design review decision. SEPA has never been construed as requiring a threshold appeal to be consolidated with the last permit for a proposal. Threshold appeals are routinely processed and resolved prior to the application of building permits. Case law upholds this practice. Finally, as determined in the Puyallup case and as demonstrated in other SMC provisions,

references to a “DNS” encompass an “MDNS.” These and other arguments will be fully addressed in my interlocutory order.