Habitat for Humanity of Clallam County Multi-Family Residential
Wetland Delineation and Critical Area Assessment
Sequim, WA

Prepared for Habitat for Humanity of Clallam County

March 5, 2025

Land Services Northwest
Alex Callender MS, PWS
120 State Ave NE PMB 190, Olympia, WA, 98501
360.481.4208

Executive Summary

Site Name: Habitat for Humanity Multi-family Development

Site Location: XXXX W. Brownfield Road Sequim, Washington

Acres: 6.66

Parcel Number: 033029229020

Legal description: S2SWNWNW EXC W 30 & EXC S 15' 1/2 INT EA 4.50A SUR V51 P85

Project Staff: Alex Callender, MS, PWS

Field Survey Conducted:

Project Description: The project proposes condominiums consisting of: 8 - fourplex multifamily units, 2 - triplex multifamily units and five duplex multifamily units.

Findings: One regulated wetland was found onsite. The Wetland A was rated as a Category IV wetland with an overall score of 13 and a habitat score of three (LLL). Category IV wetlands with a high intensity land use intensity and a habitat score of three (LLL) typically have buffer of 25 - feet.

There will be a 15-foot structure setback which will be added onto the 25-foot buffer.

Impacts: The project will avoid direct impacts to wetlands streams on and offsite. There will be small unavoidable wetland buffer impacts of 1,531 square feet due to the configuration of the development and availability of proper soils for stormwater facilities.

Mitigation: The applicant will mitigate the buffer encroachment by providing a vegetation enhancement plan over the wetland and the remainder of the buffer that will significantly improve the functions and values of the existing wetland and its buffer by removing invasives and planting native shrubs and trees. The result of this enhancement will be to maintain and even improve the functions and values as measured by our habitat assessment.

Contents

Executive Summary i

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1

Figure 1-Vicinity Map, Parcel #033029229020 2

2.0 GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND LAND USE 3

2.1 Historical and Current Land Use 3

3.0 METHODOLOGY 5

3.1 Existing Information Review 5

3.2 Analysis of Existing Information 5
National Wetland Inventory (NWI) Map 5
NRCS Soils Map 5
USGS 7.5 Minute Topo Map 8
WDFW Priority Habitats and Species Inventory and SalmonScape 8
WA Department of Natural Resources FPAMT 9
NOAA NOW Precipitation Data 9

3.3 Field Investigation 9

Determination Guidelines 9
General Field Guidelines 9
Table 1 Indicator Status Ratings 9

3.4 Wetland Study 10

Field Survey 10
Figure 3 – Test Pit Locations 11

4.0 RESULTS 11

4.1 Existing Conditions 11

4.2 Wetlands 11

Wetland A 11
Plants 12
Soils 12
Hydrology 12

5.0 WETLAND FUNCTIONAL VALUES 12

5.1 Wetland Functional Analysis Methodology 12

5.2 Wetland Functions 12

Wetland A 12

6.2 Streams Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas (FWCA) 16

Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas 16

6.2 Corps Regulations 18

6.3 Department of Ecology 18

7.0 WILDLIFE 18

8.0 PROPOSED PROJECT 18

8.1 Description 18

8.2 Development Impacts 19
8.3 Impact Avoidance and Minimization 19
Insert Figure 5 - Site Plan 19
9.0 MITIGATION 20
9.1 Mitigation Requirements 20
3.2 Integrated Pest Management 24
3.3 Mitigation Functional Analysis 24
TABLE 1 – Buffer Functions Comparison Before and After Mitigation 27
3.4 Planting Plan 27
3.5 Monitoring Plan 27
3.6 Performance Standards 28
3.7 Contingency Plans 28
Table 2 – Wet Zone #1 (16,565 sq ft) 29
Table 3 - Buffer Mitigation (14,740 sq ft) 29
Table 4- Total Costs 29
Figure 6 and 6A – Planting Zones and Placements 30
9.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS 31
10.0 LIMITATIONS 31
12.0 REFERENCE 32
Appendix A - Photographs 34
Appendix B - U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NWI MAP 43
Appendix C - Clallam County NRCS Soil Survey Map 44
Appendix D-USGS 7.5 Minute Topographic Map 47
Appendix E-WDFW Priority Habitat and Species and Salmonscape 49
Appendix F-WA Department of Natural Resources Forest Practices Stream Type Map 56
Appendix G-NOAA NOW Precipitation DATA 57
Appendix H-Wetland Data Sheets 58
Appendix I Wetland Rating Form for Western Washington 59

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report is the result of a critical areas study of the 6.66-acre parcel #033029229020 at XXXX W. Brownfield Rd, Sequim, WA with the legal description of S2SWNWNW EXC W 30 & EXC S 15' 1/2 INT EA 4.50A SUR V51 P85 in Clallam County (**Figure 1**). The purpose of this report is to 1) identify and describe the wetlands or other critical areas on-site and within 315 ft off-site of the property 2) identify impacts to wetlands or critical areas and their buffers, and 3) apply mitigation and conservation measures to off-set any critical areas or buffer impacts.

This report was prepared to satisfy the critical areas review process for forest practices required by the City of Sequim Development Regulations Chapter 18.80 CRITICAL AND ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS PROTECTION

The City of Sequim and possibly other agencies that may evaluate impacts to critical areas from the proposed project will be able to utilize information in this report.



Figure 1-Vicinity Map, Parcel #033029229020

2.0 GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND LAND USE

2.1 Historical and Current Land Use

Historically, the property has been a vacant field with some grazing. There are residential lots to the south, Sequim Avenue to the west, West Brownfield Road to the north and pastureland to the east (**Figure 2**). The property is sloped to the north and to the east where there is a berm on the eastern edge of the property. On the eastern side of the berm there is a ditch. The ditch gathers water primarily from onsite and delivers it downhill to the northeast.



Figure 2 - Current Conditions

3.0 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Existing Information Review

Background information on possible wetlands was reviewed prior to field investigations and included the following:

National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) Map, USFWS Shapefile Data (**Appendix B**)

Clallam County Area Soil Survey, Soil Conservation Service (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1973) National Resource Conservation Service Shapefiles (NRCS Soils Data Mart, 2006) (**Appendix C**)

USGS 7.5 Minute Quadrangle Topographic Maps (**Appendix D**)

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Priority Habitats and Species Database and Salmonscape (**Appendix E**)

WA Department of Natural Resources FPAMT (**Appendix F**)

NOAA NOW Precipitation Data (**Appendix G**)

Washington Department of Natural Resources Natural Heritage Database

United States Hydric Soils List (U.S. Department of Agriculture 1991)

City of Sequim Municipal Code

3.2 Analysis of Existing Information

The following existing information was reviewed to gain a better understanding of on-site conditions and its position in the landscape.

National Wetland Inventory (NWI) Map

The National Wetland Inventory (NWI) map (**Appendix B**), developed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), shows a (PEM1C) Palustrine, Emergent Persistent, Seasonally flooded wetland on and offsite to the east and a (R5UBfx) Riverine Unknown Perennial Unconsolidated Bottom Semi permanently flooded wetland offsite to the west in the general position of .

NRCS Soils Map

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has mapped the site (Appendix C) as containing:

- Clallam gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 15 percent slopes
- Yeary gravelly loam, 0 to 15 percent slopes

CLALLAM SERIES

The Clallam series consists of moderately deep to densic materials, moderately well drained soils formed in glacial till over very compact glacial till. These soils are on glaciated hills and have slopes of 0 to 30 percent. The average annual precipitation is about 23 inches and the mean annual temperature is about 48 degrees F.

TAXONOMIC CLASS: Loamy-skeletal, isotic, mesic Oxyaquic Vitrandic Haploxerepts

TYPICAL PEDON: Clallam gravelly ashy sandy loam - forested. (Colors are for moist soil unless otherwise noted. All textures are apparent field textures.)

Oi--0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed needles, leaves, and twigs.

Oe--1 to 2 inches; moderately decomposed needles, leaves, and twigs.

A--2 to 3 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) gravelly ashy sandy loam, light brownish gray (10YR 6/2) dry; weak fine and very fine granular structure parting to weak very fine subangular blocky; slightly hard, friable, slightly sticky and

nonplastic; many fine and very fine roots; many fine and very fine irregular and tubular pores; 15 percent gravel; strongly acid (pH 5.2); abrupt smooth boundary. (1 to 4 inches thick)

Bw1--3 to 12 inches; brown (10YR 5/3) gravelly ashy sandy loam, light gray (2.5Y 7/2) dry; common medium distinct dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) redox concentrations, very pale brown (10YR 7/4) dry; weak fine and very fine subangular blocky structure; hard, friable, nonsticky and nonplastic; many fine and very fine and common medium roots; many fine and very fine irregular and tubular pores; 20 percent gravel and 5 percent cobbles; strongly acid (pH 5.4); clear smooth boundary. (3 to 14 inches thick)

TYPE LOCATION: Clallam County, Washington; 4,000 feet south and 600 feet east of the northwest corner of sec. 24, T. 30 N., R. 5 W. Willamette Meridian.

RANGE IN CHARACTERISTICS:

Depth to densic contact - 20 to 40 inches
Moisture control section - dry 60 to 90 days following the summer solstice
Average annual soil temperature - 49 to 51 degrees F.
Reaction - strongly acid to slightly acid

A horizon -
Value - 3 or 4 moist, 4 to 6 dry
Chroma - 2 to 3 moist and dry
Texture - ashy-SL, ashy-L
Clay content - 3 to 18 percent
Rock fragments - 15 to 35 percent gravel, 0 to 20 percent cobbles, 15 to 35 percent total
Some pedons have an E horizon

Bw horizons -
Hue - 7.5YR to 2.5 Y
Value - 3 to 5 moist, 4 to 7 dry
Chroma - 3 or 4 moist, 2 to 4 dry
Texture - ashy-SL, ashy-L
Clay content - 3 to 18 percent
Rock fragments - 15 to 50 percent gravel, 5 to 20 percent cobbles, 35 to 60 percent total
Some pedons have a C horizon

Cd horizons -
Hue - 7.5YR to 2.5Y
Value - 5 or 6 moist, 4 to 7 dry
Chroma - 2 or 3 moist and dry
Texture - SL, L, FSL
Clay content - 5 to 25 percent
Rock fragments - 15 to 50 percent gravel, 0 to 10 percent cobbles, 15 to 60 percent total

at 20 to 60 inches. Tukey soils average 20 to 30 percent clay in the particle size control section.

GEOGRAPHIC SETTING: Clallam soils are on glaciated hills at elevations of 25 to 1,800 feet. Slopes are 0 to 30 percent. Clallam soils formed in glacial till over very compact dense glacial till. The soils are in a mild marine climate and have cool, dry summers and cool, moist winters. Average annual precipitation is 16 to 30 inches. Average January temperature is about 37 degrees F, average July temperature is about 59 degrees F, and the mean annual temperature is about 48 degrees F. Frost-free season is 160 to 220 days.

DRAINAGE AND PERMEABILITY: Moderately well drained; moderately rapid permeability above the very compact glacial till (A and Bw horizons) and very slow permeability in the very compact glacial till (Cd horizons).

USE AND VEGETATION: Timber production and wildlife are the principal uses. Some areas are used for cropland and pasture. Native vegetation is predominantly Douglas-fir with some western hemlock, grand fir, western redcedar, red alder, and Pacific madrone. Understory species include salal, evergreen huckleberry, red huckleberry, Oregon grape, creambush oceanspray, longtube twinflower, rose, blackberry, western swordfern, and western brackenfern. Principal crops are alfalfa and grass hay, barley, oats, strawberries, raspberries, and pasture.

DISTRIBUTION AND EXTENT: Northeastern Olympic Peninsula and Puget Sound Valleys, Washington; MLRA 2. This series is moderately extensive.

MLRA SOIL SURVEY REGIONAL OFFICE (MO) RESPONSIBLE: Portland, Oregon

SERIES ESTABLISHED: Western part of Puget Sound Basin, Washington, Reconnaissance Soil Survey, 1910

REMARKS: Diagnostic horizons and other features

Ochric epipedon - from 2 to 3 inches
Cambic horizon - from 3 to 30 inches
Densic material - from 30 to 62 inches
Particle size control section - 10 to 30 inches (Bw horizons)

YEARY SERIES

The Yeary series consists of moderately deep, moderately well drained soils that formed in reworked marine sediments over dense, very compact glacial till. These soils are on glaciated uplands and have slopes of 0 to 35 percent. The mean annual precipitation is about 28 inches and the mean annual temperature is about 49 degrees F.

TAXONOMIC CLASS: Fine-loamy, isotic, mesic Andic Oxyaquic Haploxerepts

TYPICAL PEDON: Yeary gravelly medial loam, forested. (Colors are for moist soil unless otherwise noted. All textures are apparent field textures.)

Oi--0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed needles, leaves and twigs.

Oe--1 to 2 inches; moderately decomposed needles, leaves, and twigs.

A--2 to 9 inches; dark brown (10YR 4/3) gravelly medial loam, very pale brown (10YR 7/3) dry; weak very fine subangular blocky structure and weak fine granular structure; slightly hard, friable, slightly sticky and slightly plastic; many fine and very fine, and common medium roots; many fine and very fine irregular and tubular pores; 15 percent pebbles; moderately acid (pH 6.0); abrupt smooth boundary. (2 to 7 inches thick)

AB--9 to 15 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) gravelly medial loam, very pale brown (10YR 7/3) dry; few fine distinct yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) redox concentrations, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) dry; weak fine and very fine angular blocky structure; slightly hard, firm, slightly sticky and slightly plastic; common fine and very fine roots; common very fine irregular, and few fine and very fine tubular pores; 20 percent gravel; moderately acid (pH 6.0); clear smooth boundary. (5 to 12 inches thick)

Bw1--15 to 21 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) gravelly clay loam, very pale brown (10YR 7/3) dry; few fine distinct dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/6) redox concentrations, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) dry; weak very fine, fine, and medium angular blocky structure; hard, firm, moderately sticky and moderately plastic; few fine and very fine roots; common very fine irregular pores and few fine and very fine tubular pores; 20 percent gravel, moderately acid (pH 6.0); clear smooth boundary. (3 to 8 inches thick)

Bw2--21 to 31 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) gravelly clay loam, very pale brown (10YR 7/3) dry; common medium distinct dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) redox concentrations; light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) dry; moderate medium, fine and very fine angular blocky structure; very hard, firm, moderately sticky and moderately plastic; very few fine and very fine roots; common very fine irregular, and few very fine tubular pores; 15 percent pebbles; moderately acid (pH 5.6); gradual smooth boundary. (6 to 10 inches thick)

TYPE LOCATION: Clallam County, Washington; 100 feet east of Palo Alto Road; 1,700 feet north and 1,700 feet west of the southeast corner of section 16, T.29N., R.3W.

RANGE IN CHARACTERISTICS: Depth to the densic material consisting of very compact glacial till is 20 to 40 inches. The particle size control section is 5 to 20 percent pebbles and cobbles and 18 to 35 percent clay. The mean annual soil temperature is 50 to 53 degrees F. The soil is dry in the moisture control section for 60 to 75 consecutive days following summer solstice. There is a perched water table above the densic layer from January to April; (oxyaquic).

The A and AB horizon has value of 3 or 4 moist, 6 or 7 dry, and chroma of 2 or 3. This horizon has granular or subangular blocky structure. It is slightly acid or strongly acid. It has bulk density moist of 0.80 to 1.00 g/cc. Acid oxalate aluminum plus one-half the acid oxalate iron is 1.0 to 2.5 percent.

GEOGRAPHIC SETTING: Yeary soils are on glaciated uplands at elevations of 200 to 1,500 feet. Slopes are 0 to 35 percent. They formed in reworked marine sediments over dense very compact glacial till. The soils are in a mild marine climate with cool, dry summers and cool, moist winters. The mean annual precipitation is 20 to 35 inches. The average January temperature is about 37 degrees F.; the average July temperature is about 59 degrees F.; and the mean annual temperature is about 49 degrees F. The frost free season is 160 to 200 days.

DRAINAGE AND PERMEABILITY: Moderately well drained; medium runoff; moderately slow permeability above the densic contact.

USE AND VEGETATION: Timer production, cropland, pasture and wildlife habitat are the principal uses. Native vegetation is predominantly Douglas fir with some grand fir, western red cedar, western hemlock, Pacific madrone and bigleaf maple. The understory species includes salal, oceanspray, red huckleberry, twinflower, Oregon grape, rose, blackberry, western swordfern and bracken fern. Principal crop is grass and legume hay.

SERIES ESTABLISHED: Clallam County, Washington, 1979.

USGS 7.5 Minute Topo Map

The USGS has topographical maps that depict natural and artificial features on the landscape including wetlands. This map shows Bell Creek offsite. (**Appendix D**).

WDFW Priority Habitats and Species Inventory and SalmonScape

The Department of Fish and Wildlife maintains an inventory of priority habitats and species information (**Appendix E**). This database shows a polygon for the Roosevelt elk (Cervus elaphus roosevelti). The area may be grazing habitat for elk as they are known to occur throughout area. It shows the property as part of the Sequim Crucial Winter Range.

The area has no trees to provide thermal refuge which is important tin the wintertime for range, but it does have grasses for gazing.

The Northern spotted owl polygon also occurs within the subject property. This area is a large grassy field which may harbor mice, voles and even some rats which the northern spotted owl may feed upon, but there are no old growth trees to hold them to this site. They are not expected to occur here.

The Department of Fish and Wildlife maintains the Salmonscape database, which provides information on Washington salmonid populations **(Appendix E).** This database does not show the existence of salmon habitat onsite; however it does show (Coho)or species in vicinity of the property across Sequim Avenue in the Bell Creek.

WA Department of Natural Resources FPAMT

The department of Natural Resources has a mapping tool for depicting Stream types for Forest Practices purposes in accordance with WAC 222-16-16 **(Appendix F).** This database shows a Type F stream to the west of the parcel where Bell Creek is located.

NOAA NOW Precipitation Data

NOAA maintains a database that graphs the current precipitation against the wettest, driest, and normal accumulations of record. **(Appendix G)** This data shows that the precipitation since October 1, 2022 has above average rain. This is measured at the Sequim 2 East station which is about a mile east of the subject property.

3.3 Field Investigation

Determination Guidelines

Land Services Northwest based its wetland identification and delineation upon the 1987 Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory, 1987) and the regional specificity found in Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2.0) (USACE, 2010). Generally, as outlined in the manuals, wetlands are distinguished from other landforms by three criteria: 1) hydrophytic vegetation, 2) hydric soils, and 3) wetland hydrology.

General Field Guidelines

Plant species were identified according to the taxonomy in *Flora of the Pacific Northwest* (Hitchcock and Cronquist, 1973), and the wetland status of plant species was assigned according to*: The National Wetland Plant List: 2016* (Lichvar, 2016). Wetland classes were determined by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's system of wetland classification (FGDC, 2013). The wetland determination was based mainly on soils, vegetation, and hydrology characteristics indicative of wetland conditions.

The Corps Manual and Supplement describes soil, vegetation, and hydrological indicators of wetlands. A hydric soil is a soil that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper par (National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils, 1994). Anaerobic conditions cause redoximorphic features to develop, which can be evidenced through the observation of mottling or gleying in the soil. Soils are hydric if they match the indicators in the supplement or meet the technical definition.

A soils evaluation was performed to determine if the area contained hydric soils. Additional test plots were sampled to gage possible wetland indicators and characteristics. Soils are normally excavated to 18 inches or more below the surface within a test pit to evaluate soil characteristics and hydrological conditions in both wetland and upland areas. Soil chroma (color) is evaluated using the *Munsell Color Chart* (Munsell Color, 1988).

The COE describe a wetland rating system for plants. Each plant species is assigned a probability of occurrence within wetlands, which is referred to as its wetland status. The wetland plant indicator system is as follows:

Table 1 Indicator Status Ratings

Indicator Status	Abrv.	Definitions - Short Version (ERDC/CRREL TN-12-1)
Obligate	OBL	Almost always occur in wetlands.
Facultative Wetland	FACW	Usually occur in wetlands, but may occur in non-wetlands.
Facultative	FAC	Occur in wetlands and nonwetlands.

Facultative Upland	FACU	Usually occur in non-wetlands, but may occur in wetlands.
Upland	UPL	Almost never occur in wetlands.
		(USACE, 2016)

In general, under the Federal methodology, more than 50 percent of the predominant plant species within a test plot must be rated FAC or wetter (i.e., FACW, OBL) to satisfy the wetland criteria for hydrophytic vegetation. Dominant species are those when ranked comprise 50% of the total or those that have a percent cover greater or equal to 20 percent within the test plot. Only dominant plant species were considered in the data analysis.

If wetland hydrology, including pooling, ponding, and soil saturation, is not clearly evident, hydrological conditions may be observed through surface or soil indicators. Indicators of hydrological conditions include drainage patterns, drift lines, sediment deposition, watermarks, historic records, visual observation of saturated soils, and visual observation of inundation.

3.4 Wetland Study

Field Survey

A wetland reconnaissance was performed on November 17, 2022, to identify wetlands, streams and other critical areas that might be present on the subject property. Observations were made of the general plant communities, wildlife habitats, and the locations of potential streams and wetland areas. Present and past land-use practices were also noted, as were significant geological and hydrological features.

Once likely wetland areas were located, the Routine Onsite Determination Method was used to identify the presence of wetland parameters and to delineate the outer edge of the wetlands using the procedures outlined in the *Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual* (Environmental Laboratory, 1987). The Routine Onsite Determination Method was used in areas that maintained normal circumstances, were not significantly disturbed, and were not potential problem areas. A formal wetland delineation was performed on November 17, 2022, to flag and document on-site wetlands and to identify and map off-site wetlands within 315 feet of the subject property as we are able.

Test pits were dug on 11/17/2022 (**Figure 3**), to develop a better understanding of soil profiles onsite. Soils were excavated to 18 inches or more below the surface within a test pit to evaluate soil characteristics and hydrological conditions throughout the site. Soil chroma (color) is evaluated using the *Munsell Color Chart* (Munsell Color, 1988). These results were entered in wetland data sheets **(Appendix G).** Since there was no hydrology criteria met in many areas that had hydric soils, a second survey was conducted in February during the growing season in order to verify that




Figure 3 – Test Pit Locations

4.0 RESULTS

4.1 Existing Conditions

The subject property is a rolling parcel with a gentle slope to the north and to the east. The area is grassy pastureland that is now unused. There is a ditch at the eastern end that has a north south orientation, but it does not appear to carry water except maybe during periods of heavy precipitation. There are ditch spoils which effectively cut off the wetland from the offsite ditch that travels through the neighboring pasture.

4.2 Wetlands

One wetland, labeled Wetland A, was identified during the site visit, and formally investigated on November 17, 2022 and later on April 8, 2023 to verify conditions during a wet period.

Wetland A

Wetland A on site is a Palustrine Emergent, Seasonally Flooded wetland. The wetland flows to the north east and meets a ditch. This ditch does not appear to carry much water and percolates on its way to the Brownfield Road on the neighbor's western property edge. The wetland seems to be effectively drained by the ditch.

Plants
Meadow barley, Reed canary grass, Colonial bentgrass, soft rush and slough sedge were dominant vegetation in the wetland.

Soils
Soils in Wetland A are a black 10YR 2-3/1 silt loam underlain by a 10YR 4/2 with 10YR 4/6 redox gravelly loam. The delineation of the wetland area closely follows the topography of the site where the hydric soils are limited to the lower portion of the very gradual hillslope.

Hydrology
It was November and there were some recent rains. No water was found in the test pits, so we went again to check on suspicious areas that had hydric soils but no hydrology. No water was found in many of these suspicious areas. Only where the wetland was mapped did we find water within 12 inches of the surface.

5.0 WETLAND FUNCTIONAL VALUES

5.1 Wetland Functional Analysis Methodology
Wetlands, in general, provide many valuable ecological and social functions, including 1) stormwater storage, 2) groundwater recharge, 3) erosion control, 4) water quality improvement, 5) natural biological support, 6) overall habitat functions, 7) specific habitat functions, and 8) cultural and socioeconomic value.

Several procedures have been developed for assessing the importance and magnitude of functions and include the Washington Functional Assessment Method (WAFAM) Wetland Evaluation Technique, the Hydrogeomorphic Assessment Method the Habitat Evaluation Procedure (HEP), and numerous regional and/or local procedures. However, none of these methods were consistent with the needs of this project.

Wetland functions were also semi-quantitatively assessed using information gathered while performing the ECY Wetland Rating System for Western Washington (Hruby, 2014). The scores from the analysis of the wetland are found in Appendix H. This method is a comprehensive approach requiring substantial data input and assessment of onsite and landscape functions. The descriptions of wetland functions and the factors and parameters considered by that method are very helpful in interpreting the functioning of the subject wetlands and buffer areas. The methodology is scientifically based, in that its application requires a prior understanding of how wetlands function. Advanced experience, training and scientific objectivity of a wetland scientist applying the method is essential for an accurate assessment. Alex Callender has attended and received credit for the training in this method.

5.2 Wetland Functions
Wetland A
Wetland A is a an approximately one-acre mostly disturbed emergent wetland. Wetland A is a slope wetland that is seasonally flooded and saturated.

Water Quality Functions

The wetland has mineral soils and a low slope of approximately 1-2%. Wetland A has some pollution generating land use with Sequim Road above it, but not 10%. Wetland A has dense vegetation and a 303d listed stream across the road from it and within a mile. Wetland A is in a basin with planned TMDL so it rates high for those functions.

Hydrologic Functions

Wetland A does not have dense rigid plants for 90% of its area. Wetland A has more than 25% of its land use in runoff producing land use. We are assuming that there is flooding further downstream, however it has not been named as important for this feature.

Habitat Functions

Wetland A is a moderately large wetland with a common vegetation structure of slough sedge and invasive reed canary grass along its reach. There is only one vegetation class emergent and one hydroperiod of seasonally saturated. The wetland has a large amount of high intensity development and not much undisturbed habitat. There is high intensity development in 1KM and is not more than 50% high intensity. It has little undisturbed accessible habitat, so it rates low for position in the landscape. Wetland A has no snags and logs, or other features , so it rates low for these priority habitat functions.

6.0 REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

6.1 City of Sequim Regulations

Wetlands

Wetlands are regulated under SMC Chapter 18.80 CRITICAL AND ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS PROTECTION

Wetlands were rated using the Wetland Rating System for Western Washington in accordance with Section F(3).

Wetland A

Wetland A is rated a Category IV wetland with an overall score of 14, and a habitat score of 3(LLL) for Habitat. According to the Table in SMC Development standards. 18.80.070 below, Category IV Wetlands of all types carry a twenty five -foot buffer.

Wetland Rating	Land Use Impact	Buffer
Cat I – Habitat score 20 or more, or estuarine or coastal lagoon	Low	150 ft.
	High	200 ft.
Cat I – Habitat score 19 or less	Low	125 ft.
	High	200 ft.
Cat II – Habitat score 20 or more, or estuarine or coastal lagoon	Low	100 ft.
	High	150 ft.
Cat II – Habitat score 19 or less	Low	65 ft.
	High	100 ft.
Cat III – Habitat score 20 or more	Low	75 ft.
	High	125 ft.
Cat III – Habitat score 19 or less	Low	40 ft.
	High	75 ft.
Cat IV	**All**	**25 ft.**

The following measures are required, as applicable, to receive the buffer widths listed above.

• Outdoor lighting from the development shall be designed and installed to prevent direct casting of light into adjacent wetland areas. Final design shall be reviewed and approved by the department of community development prior to permit issuance.
• Activity that generates noise shall be located away from wetlands. If warranted, enhance existing buffer with native vegetation plantings adjacent to noise source.
• The applicant shall prepare a restrictive covenant, to be placed upon the deed for the property, that prohibits use of pesticides within 150 feet of the delineated on-site wetland area. Proof of the recorded covenant shall be provided to the city prior to permit issuance.
• Surface water management shall be consistent with low impact development (LID) practices as set forth in the manual identified in Chapter 13.104 SMC. Final design shall be reviewed and approved by the public works department prior to permit issuance.
• Permanent signage on the boundary of a wetland buffer to protect its functions and values. Fencing may be required if requested by the wetland biologist to protect its functions and values. Fencing design shall not interfere with fish and wildlife migration and shall minimize impacts to the wetland and its associated habitat.
• The applicant shall utilize dust control best management practices (BMP) during development activities. Such practices shall be consistent with the manual identified in Chapter 13.104 SMC.
• Surface water from areas adjacent to on-site delineated wetland areas shall be channelized and treated prior to discharge into wetland buffer areas. Surface water treatment shall be consistent with the manual identified in Chapter 13.104 SMC. Final design shall be reviewed and approved by the city public works department prior to permit issuance.
• All treated surface water proposed for discharge into any on-site delineated wetland area shall be conveyed in a manner consistent with those practices set forth in the manual identified in Chapter 13.104 SMC. Final design shall be reviewed and approved by the city public works department prior to permit issuance.
• Existing on-site drainage system facilities shall be reviewed by a Washington State licensed engineer to determine their ability to accommodate the increased volume of surface water created by the new development. The facilities shall be modified as necessary with facility design consistent with the direction provided in the manual identified in Chapter 13.104 SMC. Final design shall be reviewed and approved by the city public works department prior to permit issuance.
• Surface water from impervious surfaces and lawns located adjacent to on-site delineated wetland areas shall be channelized and treated prior to discharge into wetland buffer areas. Surface water treatment shall be consistent with those practices contained in the manual identified in Chapter 13.104 SMC. Final design shall be reviewed and approved by the city public works department prior to permit issuance.
• Apply integrated pest management.
• The delineated on-site wetland area shall be placed in a separate tract as prescribed in SMC 18.80.090.

The applicant will utilize these measures to reduce the impacts to wetlands and their buffers

There is a building setback requirement according to SMC Development standards. 18.80.070 F. which states:

6. A building setback line of 15 feet is required from the edge of any wetland buffer. Minor structural intrusions into the area of the building setback may be allowed if the department of community development director or authorized designee determines, supported by objective written evidence, that such intrusions will not negatively impact the wetland. If the wetland buffer is already heavily impacted, building setbacks may be reduced in exchange for buffer enhancement – see SMC 18.80.080.

Drainage facilities may be located within the outer 25% of the wetland buffer in accordance with SMC 18.080.08(E). which states:

E. Drainage Facilities. Category III or IV wetlands and their buffers, and stream buffers, may be altered for use as a public drainage facility; provided, that all requirements of the city stormwater management plan and all other local, state and federal laws are satisfied, and so long as increased and multiple natural resource functions are achievable, and the benefits outweigh any lost resource. The department of community development director may approve public drainage facilities in a buffer only when he/she determines that long-term impacts are minimal or when there are no practicable or reasonable alternatives and mitigation is provided. Drainage facilities shall be limited to the outer 25 percent of a buffer.

18.80.080 Development exceptions.C. states:

Previously Altered Critical Areas or Buffers. If any portion of a critical area or its buffer has been altered from its natural state, the applicant may propose to develop within the altered area pursuant to the following decision criteria:

1. The critical area or buffer was lawfully altered in accordance with the provisions of the city ordinances and any state and federal laws at the time the alteration occurred;

The wetland was altered by agricultural activities (Ditching and haying) long ago and was not in violation of any state or federal laws at the time of the alteration. It has been maintained as pasture land .

2. The alteration has significantly disrupted the natural functions of the critical area or its buffer as determined by a qualified professional;

The wetland rated as a Category IV wetland due to ditching draining and haying of the wetland over time which has altered the diversity, hydrology, and structure of the wetland..

3. The proposal utilizes to the maximum extent possible the best available construction, design and development techniques which result in the least adverse impact on the critical area and its buffer as determined by a qualified professional;

We are trying to maximize the building units and the enhancement plan will protect the functions and values of the wetland to the greatest extent possible. The stormwater must be maintained on site and to do that we need to have the impacts that we are proposing.

4. The proposal incorporates the development standards of SMC 18.80.070;

We will be using the bmps found in 18.80.070 listed above.

5. The new development will not further degrade the critical area or its buffer as determined by a qualified professional;

We will be providing maintenance and monitoring plan and a habitat assessment to show that the overall functions of the wetland will be maintained and not degraded. There should be a net improvement as proposed in this plan.

6. The proposal is consistent with the purpose and intent of this chapter; and

We believe the proposal is consistent with the purpose of this chapter to maintain critical areas and promote the public interest.

7. The applicant must mitigate and enhance the remaining critical area or buffer. An enhancement plan must be submitted in accordance with the requirements of SMC 18.80.060.

The native plant enhancement and invasive species removal plan is provided in Chapter

6.2 Streams Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas (FWCA)

Fish and Wildlife conservation areas area defined in code as:

5. Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas; "fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas" does not include such artificial features or constructs as irrigation delivery systems, irrigation infrastructure, irrigation canals, or drainage ditches that lie within the boundaries of, and are maintained by, a port district or an irrigation district or company;

There exists a ditch at the eastern border of the property just offsite that appears to be a manmade ditch created for the maintenance of uplands for the pasture that is currently under ag activities such as cattle pasturing. This area was not considered as an FWCA in this instance. No impacts are proposed to this area as the buffer of the Ns streams would be a type 5 stream where the following apply.

"Stream types" include categories established as follows:

1. "Type 1" means those streams inventoried as "shorelines of the state" in the city's adopted shoreline master program.

2. "Type 2" means perennial or intermittent streams used by anadromous fish during any stage of life.

3. "Type 3" means perennial or intermittent streams with the potential for anadromous fish use, but which do not currently support anadromous fish because of fish barriers or any other condition that substantially interferes with stream use by anadromous fish.

4. "Type 4" means intermittent or perennial streams that are not Type 1, 2 or 3 that may contain fish other than anadromous fish.

5. "Type 5" means intermittent or perennial streams that are not Type 1, 2, 3, or 4.

Under the criteria, the buffer of the ditch would carry a 25-foot buffer if it were to carry one at all as we maintain that it is a maintained ag ditch as there are ditch spoils right beside the ditch.

Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas

In addition, we had mentioned that according to the Department of Fish and Wildlife PHS Mapper, there is critical elk habitat nearby. three management goals are key when managing elk.

1) minimize human activity that would disturb elk,

2) maximize retention of undisturbed vegetation – particularly


forest cover, and

3) avoid activities that serve to exclude elk.

An additional goal of our review is protecting private property. Any time there is development within elk use areas, there is a potential for elk-related damage. Avoiding or minimizing development activities within winter elk use areas will help avoid future damage to built or introduced features.

Through the openspace design, we have maintained a portion of this parcel to maintain the corridor for these animals to travel unimpeded. No fences over 4 -feet will be used on the parcel. Fencing may be provided around shrubs to prevent browse. There are no forested areas to protect on this site so it is not important for thermal refuge, although they may graze from time to time.

Signage that alerts the community to the importance of elk and not disturbing them will be important to maintain the area.



Insert Figure 4 – Wetlands with 25 -foot Standard Buffer and 15-foot Building Setback

Table 2 - Summary of Wetlands and Streams on or in the Vicinity of the Subject Property

Wetland	Size		Category		Land Use Intensity (	Buffer	Cowardin Class	Comments
	On-site	Off-site (estimated)	City of Sequim	Building Setback/Reduced Setback				
Wetland A[1]	-	~1.1 acre	IV	15 ft/4 ft	High	25	PFOC[1]	No Wetland Buffer Impacts
Offsite Ditch	-	30 linear feet	-			-		No Stream or Buffer Impacts

1. Palustrine , Emergent Seasonally Flooded (or Saturated)

6.2 Corps Regulations

Wetland A flows off site and into the Sequim Bay therefore it would be maintained as a Water of the US and regulated under the Clean Water Act. No impacts are proposed to Wetland A. The offsite ditch may drain across the highway via a ditch and into Sequim Bay and is therefore assumed to be jurisdictional.

6.3 Department of Ecology

Under RCW 90.48, the Washington Department of Ecology (DOE) reserves regulatory authority to regulate “waters of the state” under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act. No wetland impacts are proposed.

7.0 WILDLIFE

Wildlife observed during the field investigations are typical of urban/suburban adapted species (Table 2). The European starling, possum, and other species adapted to urbanization may inhabit or visit the site for food and shelter.

No other Federally-listed, or priority species was observed on the subject property or near the site based on the WDFW Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) and field observations during the reconnaissance and delineation. During the limited duration of the site reconnaissance and delineation, no evidence of the Federally-listed Bald Eagle, Marbled Murrelet, or Spotted Owl was observed on-site.

No Federally-listed salmonid species are known to occur on-site, based on the WDFW SalmonScape database, the WDFW PHS database, and site reconnaissance.

No wildlife was observed on site during the site visit.

8.0 PROPOSED PROJECT

8.1 Description

The applicant proposes he project proposes 48 condominiums consisting of: 8 - fourplex multi-family units, 2 - triplex mult-ifamily units and five duplex multi-family units.

The development will also have a driveway with two parking spaces per unit for each building. In addition, a 60-foot road right of way with a planting strip sidewalks and travel lane will be provided for access to the buildings' driveways. utilities will be provided at the road to include sewer, water, cable, electricity (See Site Plan).

8.2 Development Impacts

No direct impacts to the wetlands are expected. 1,531 square feet of buffer impacts are proposed with native vegetation enhancement mitigation for the remainder of the buffer and wetland.

8.3 Impact Avoidance and Minimization

Impacts will be avoided by maintaining most of the project well outside of the project area. Unavoidable impacts due to stormwater infiltration requirements will result, however, an enhancement plan to maintain the functions and values will be installed which will improve the remainder of the wetland and buffer

Implementing water quality and sedimentation best management practices (BMPs) will act to minimize sedimentation and protect water quality on-site and any bare areas will be planted with a cover crop. Silt fences and straw waddles will be used where necessary. Stormwater will be controlled onsite and treated before discharge and no water will be discharged without treatment. Work will be conducted in the dry when possible and only with responsible construction methods and materials.

Insert Figure 5 - Site Plan

9.0 MITIGATION

9.1 Mitigation Requirements

To improve the overall functions of wetlands, it was determined that unavoidable impacts will occur to 1,531 sq ft the buffers of Wetland A. In accordance with the impact reducing measures found in the Table above and the qualifications found in **SMC** 18.80.080 Development exceptions. C. will be met through this mitigation plan with the following actions

K. Mitigation. For any allowable development exception provided under this section, the associated adverse impacts must be considered unavoidable but mitigable. The applicant must first demonstrate that they have taken the following mitigation sequencing actions:

• Avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;

The applicant has redesigned the project to the maximum extent possible without giving up the purpose of providing affordable housing for people that need it. This has involved reducing the overall amount of units.

• Minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation, by using appropriate technology, or by taking affirmative steps to avoid or reduce impacts;

The applicant has redesigned stormwater and the homes to reduce the impacts to the wetlands. This includes a robust native plant enhancement plan in order to provide functions lost by farm practices on the site.

• Rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment;

We will be restoring the buffers of the wetland with native vegetation in order to maintain functions and values.

• Reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action;

There will be a long-term maintenance and monitoring plan offered with the planned mitigation.

• Compensating for the impact by replacing, enhancing, or providing substitute resources or environments; and/or

We will be compensating at more than 1:1 impacts to native plant enhancement and invasive species removal in the area between the wetland and the proposed development area.

• Monitoring the impact and taking appropriate corrective measures.

The mitigation area will be monitored for 5 years in accordance with the City's maintenance and monitoring guidelines If there is plant mortality or fencing issues the applicant will replant and maintain the overall viability of the plantings. After this five year period the plantings should be self-maintaining.

Mitigation must not be implemented until after city approval of the critical area report and mitigation plan prepared in accordance with subsection (K)(4) of this section.

1. General Requirements. The applicant must develop a mitigation plan that provides for construction, maintenance, monitoring and contingencies of the critical areas compensatory mitigation as required by conditions of approval and consistent with the requirements of this chapter. The mitigation plan must be consistent with subsection (K)(4) of this section. All mitigation sites must have buffers consistent with the buffer requirements of this chapter. Where feasible, mitigation projects must be completed prior to activities that will disturb critical areas. In all other cases, mitigation must be completed immediately following disturbance and prior to use or occupancy of the activity or development. Construction of mitigation projects must be timed to reduce impacts to existing wildlife and vegetation. For mitigation projects that involve creating new wetlands or relocating streams, the director of community development must have the authority to modify the buffer requirements on a case-by-case basis to avoid unduly encumbering neighboring properties.

The applicant has developed a mitigation plan that will target functions that are typically improved with native vegetation which provides multiple ecosystem functions. The biologist has developed this mitigation plan to improve areas that are in need of remedial improvement as shown by the habitat analysis that follows, in addition we will be using the Wetland Rating System answers to key in on important functions identified with this rating.

The project goals are to create a shrub scrub wetland with some forested components to provide shade to the wetland as this wetland eventually drains to the Straits San Juan de Fuca. The are is also there to provide food and screening to the wetland and is designed to allow movement through the site and not hinder elk or other wildlife. A cedar split rail fence will be installed to prevent intrusion of people into the wetland buffer while allowing most wildlife. The mitigation will be completed prior to the occupancy of the buildings.

2. On properties where mitigation is required, prior to issuance of any construction, grading, or building permits, or preliminary approval of a major plat, short plat, or binding site plan, a "critical areas performance bond" or other suitable financial guarantee as approved by the city attorney must be submitted to the department of community development. The amount of the bond or other financial guarantee must be equal to 150 percent of the estimated cost of the mitigation. Such bond or other suitable financial guarantee must not be released until all required mitigation is installed.

The applicant is prepared to provide a bond of 150% of the value of the mitigation plantings and labor until the required mitigation is installed.

3. Monitoring. All mitigation projects must be monitored for a period of five years. Monitoring reports must be submitted annually for the first three years following construction and at least upon the completion of the fifth year to document milestones, successes, problems, and contingency actions of the mitigation. The applicant must deposit an amount equal to 125 percent of the full cost of monitoring with the city before monitoring begins. The city must use such funds to pay for monitoring costs associated with the mitigation project. Once the monitoring has been completed,

the city must refund any remaining funds to the applicant within 60 days of receiving the final monitoring report. The director must have the authority to extend the monitoring period and require additional monitoring reports beyond the initial five-year monitoring period for any project that does not meet the performance standards identified in the mitigation plan, does not provide adequate replacement for the functions and values of the impacted critical area, or otherwise warrants additional monitoring (such as when forested wetlands are restored or created).

The applicant will create monitoring reports twice a year for the first three years in order to make sure that the plantings are surviving and thriving. The reports will be conducted in the spring shortly after budbreak in April to aid in identification of plants reports will follow within a month of the monitoring report. During the spring, the applicant will identify mortality and recommend replacements and management to prevent the mortality in the future. This will be adaptively mab

4. Mitigation Plans. All restoration and compensation projects must follow a mitigation plan prepared by qualified professionals containing, at a minimum, the following components:

a. Baseline Information. Quantitative data must be collected and synthesized for both the impacted critical area and the proposed mitigation site, if different from the impacted critical area, following procedures approved by the department of community development;

The baseline functions and values of the wetland is contained within the Wetland Rating Forms which are part of the Wetland Rating System for Western Washington (Hruby 2014as amended). We have also conducted a site specific study which looks at current functions vs. the expected functions after the installation of the mitigatoin

b. Environmental Goals and Objectives. Goals and objectives describing the purposes of the mitigation measures must be provided, including a description of site selection criteria, identification of target evaluation species and resource functions;

The environmental goals and purpose of the mitigation is to enhance the remaining wetland with the addition of trees and shubs, which are currently absent from the area. The goal is to establish two conifer species and one deciduous tree species. The shrub component will be provide four different flowering and fruiting shrubs.

The objective of the planting is to shade out invasive reed canary grass using trees and shrubs and provide at least four flowing shrubs.

c. Performance Standards. Specific criteria for fulfilling environmental goals and objectives and for beginning remedial action or contingency measures must be provided, including water quality standards, species richness and diversity targets, habitat diversity indices, or other ecological, geological or hydrological criteria;

Performance standard #1` will be the introduction of at least four different shrub species, two conifer species and four shrub species

Performance standard #2 will be to create 80% aerial coverage of the plants to shade out reed canary grass by year 5.

Performance standard # 3 is to screen wildlife by planting a thick edge of shrubs that is between the wetland and the development.

Performance standard #4 is to create two layers of canopies with 30% Forested component and 70% shrub component.

Performance standard # 5 is to maintain less than 20% invasive species coverage

d. Detailed Construction Plan. Written specifications and descriptions of mitigation techniques must be provided, including the proposed construction sequence, accompanied by detailed site diagrams and blueprints that are an integral requirement of any development proposal;

The biologist has created a mitigation plan that has the mapped enhancement area with plant placement to assure that the plants are installed in a proper position for the hydrologic conditions with drier species found on the outside and wetter species found in the outer edge of the buffer.

e. Monitoring Program. A program outlining the approach for assessing a completed project must be provided including descriptions or proposed experimental and control site survey or sampling techniques. A protocol must be included outlining how the monitoring data will be evaluated by agencies that are tracking the progress of the mitigation project. A report must be submitted at least twice yearly documenting milestones, successes, problems and contingency action of the restoration or compensation project. The department of community development director must require that the applicant monitor the project consistent with subsection (K)(3) of this section;

The applicant will provide a monitoring report twice yearly during the spring shortly after bud break to aid in plant identification. During the inspections, they will provide species composition, percent survival, probable cause for issues and replacements provided. They will also make decisions on plant species, growth patterns and account for volunteers found. If a certain species is not thriving or meeting the canopy cover standards etc, an increase in the amount of plants will be provided in order to achieve standards. This may include replacement of larger trees or shrubs.

The area is frequented by deer and elk which are not particularly choosey about what they eat and if the plants are being consumed, fencing or other protections may be developed in order to assure success and ward off the deer, elk or even rodents which have been known to girdle plants. Eventually the brush will be a source of browse when it has become more mature and it expected that the edges may need protection beyond the cedar rail fence.

f. Contingency Plan. A plan must be provided fully identifying potential courses of action and any corrective measures to be taken when monitoring or evaluation indicates project standards are not being met;

As mentioned earlier, if a the plantings or a certain species is not thriving or experiencing excessive mortality, a decision will be made on whether to continue to replace with in kind plants or possibly bigger stock, changing the position in the landscape, substitution of species, pest eradication, and even possibly devise methods to discourage human or animal encroachment. The city will be notified of the possibility of any substantive contingencies before they are applied.

g. Performance and Maintenance Securities. Securities ensuring fulfillment of the mitigation project, monitoring program and any contingency measures must be posted pursuant to SMC 18.80.110.

The applicant will provide the required bonds or an assignment of funds in an escrow to assure the city that they will be provided a successful planting, maintenance and contingency to replace or improve the species choice.

3.2 Integrated Pest Management

We have looked at different pest management plans and Thurston County has developed a great example of Integrated pest management plan. The applicant will use the integrated pest management plan (IPM) methods and utilize management recommendations from the Thurston County Website at https://www.co.thurston.wa.us/health/ehipm/ipm_homeownr.html. The primary objectives of the planting plan is to provide tree and shrub species that will shade and outcompete thereed canary grass which require control; The willow and twinberry will be useful to shade out the existing invasive species. The applicant will use manual mechanical means as the preferred method for removal of invasive species. Reed canary grass will be carefully line cut until the other plants become established. If these methods are found to be ineffective or infeasible, Other more aggressive methods will be considered to include use of popular effective herbicides consistent with the recommended label application rates and conducted during the dry season by licensed applicators. Other species which may require control from time to time will use recommendations from the Clallam County Noxious Weed website and the Homeowner IPM recommendations adopted for the particular purpose.

3.3 Mitigation Functional Analysis

The following planting plan to enhance the buffer will improve wetland functions and improve the overall landscape as well by:

- providing increase roughness
- increasing nutrient uptake of stormwater
- Provide screening for wildlife,
- Provide shade for water quality and habitat,
- Produce food for wildlife
- Provide structure beyond the emergent

Currently, the area that will be impacted is low-functioning pasture near the wetland area. There is a lack of shrub and tree vegetation and the screening that it provides, so this plan will provide an enhanced proper buffer and wetland in the area, where it matters most, between the wetland and the residence. The planting plan will provide species diversity and structure as well as roughness as shown in the previous chapters.

The buffer area is currently used for pasture and some will remain lawn within 15 feet of the house, driveway and garage.

Areas in the wetland and buffer will be planted with wetland and buffer plants, which will not only provide benefits already mentioned but will also become a landscape amenity that combines the practical plantings with aesthetic attributes of our native flora.

The following analysis uses the qualitative scoring values similar to the values developed in the Wetland Rating System for Western Washington. The best available science has found that the resolution of value can only be rated using a qualitative system and maintain a rapid assessment method of less than one day. Therefore, we have examined common buffer functions for wetland protection and other habitats to show the overall expected lift by the enhancement plan from the baseline before the development to after the development and installation of the native plants. It should be noted that the mitigation in some instances may not have a measurable increase in functions, but it should be successful in at least maintaining functions. Since the current state of the area is mostly invasive reed canary grass, it may be necessary to pull weeds from the areas around the plants in order to prevent the grass from choking out the native plants. This will be managed through using an integrated pest management system of least aggressive remediation to most aggressive in order to maintain the site. We will be applying the impact reducing measures from the table below to lower the impacts to the wetlands due to the development and the activities around it in accordance with the CAO.

• Outdoor lighting from the development shall be designed and installed to prevent direct casting of light into adjacent wetland areas. Final design shall be reviewed and approved by the department of community development prior to permit issuance.
• Activity that generates noise shall be located away from wetlands. If warranted, enhance existing buffer with native vegetation plantings adjacent to noise source.
• The applicant shall prepare a restrictive covenant, to be placed upon the deed for the property, that prohibits use of pesticides within 150 feet of the delineated on-site wetland area. Proof of the recorded covenant shall be provided to the city prior to permit issuance.
• Surface water management shall be consistent with low impact development (LID) practices as set forth in the manual identified in Chapter 13.104 SMC. Final design shall be reviewed and approved by the public works department prior to permit issuance.
• Permanent signage on the boundary of a wetland buffer to protect its functions and values. Fencing may be required if requested by the wetland biologist to protect its functions and values. Fencing design shall not interfere with fish and wildlife migration and shall minimize impacts to the wetland and its associated habitat.
• The applicant shall utilize dust control best management practices (BMP) during development activities. Such practices shall be consistent with the manual identified in Chapter 13.104 SMC.
• Surface water from areas adjacent to on-site delineated wetland areas shall be channelized and treated prior to discharge into wetland buffer areas. Surface water treatment shall be consistent with the manual identified in Chapter 13.104 SMC. Final design shall be reviewed and approved by the city public works department prior to permit issuance.
• All treated surface water proposed for discharge into any on-site delineated wetland area shall be conveyed in a manner consistent with those practices set forth in the manual identified in Chapter 13.104 SMC. Final design shall be reviewed and approved by the city public works department prior to permit issuance.
• Existing on-site drainage system facilities shall be reviewed by a Washington State licensed engineer to determine their ability to accommodate the increased volume of surface water created by the new development. The facilities shall be modified as necessary with facility design consistent with the direction

provided in the manual identified in Chapter 13.104 SMC. Final design shall be reviewed and approved by the city public works department prior to permit issuance.
• Surface water from impervious surfaces and lawns located adjacent to on-site delineated wetland areas shall be channelized and treated prior to discharge into wetland buffer areas. Surface water treatment shall be consistent with those practices contained in the manual identified in Chapter 13.104 SMC. Final design shall be reviewed and approved by the city public works department prior to permit issuance.
• Apply integrated pest management.
• The delineated on-site wetland area shall be placed in a separate tract as prescribed in SMC 18.80.090.

Below is the list of functions that was evaluated to effect before and after a successful implementation of this plan.

TABLE 1 – Buffer Functions Comparison Before and After Mitigation

Buffer Perf criteria	Screening	Invasive Species Control	Nutrient uptake	Snags and Logs	Structure	Food Production	Surface roughness	Temperature attenuation	Erosion control
Before mitigating measures	Low	Low	Medium	Low	Low	Medium	Low	Low	Medium
After mitigating measures	High	Medium	Medium	Low	High	High	Medium	Medium	High

3.4 Planting Plan

To provide for a higher functioning wetland, a buffer enhancement and invasive species removal plan was developed to make the remaining buffer and wetland more resilient and diverse and effective. Figure 5 illustrates the placement area which was created to maximize plant performance by placing species in a position in the landscape where they will thrive.

Trees and shrubs will be planted at grade in holes 1.5 times the width of the container or root ball. Mulch will be applied around each tree 2-4 inches deep in a three-foot diameter around the tree with an edge to retain water. Containerized rootbound trees will be cut with sharp shears on the bottom in an x pattern to promote root growth. Four cuts will be made vertically to allow roots to spread. Trees and shrubs will be thoroughly watered in after installation. The whole area will be sheet mulched to prevent weeds from taking hold and to retain water.

3.5 Monitoring Plan

The planting plan will be monitored twice a year for five years following the as – built (Year 0). Monitoring of the performance standards will be provided each spring, shortly after leafing out, to aid in plant identification. A report that communicates the findings will be provided to the City staff a month following the monitoring. The report will contain pictures to allow the City personnel to evaluate site conditions and performance standards. The photos in the report will be taken in four cardinal directions, unless there is a direction that provides a better view. Four photo points that will be

established during the as-built (Year 0). Following the as- built, we will monitor the site in the spring shortly after the growing season commences and Mid October so any weed management can occur prior to the plant senescence. Management of performance deficiencies or maintenance will occur a report will be sent to the city within a month following monitoring and a summary of management actions will be included in the following year's monitoring report to track effectiveness and adaptively manage the site.

3.6 Performance Standards

The performance standards are as follows:

Year 0 an inventory of plants and photo points will be established for monitoring during the monitoring period within 1 month of the installation.

Year 1 -3 will have 100% survival of installed plants. Noxious weeds will be less than 20% aerial coverage.

Dead plants will be replaced in kind unless a replacement is provided as a contingency.

Year 4 and 5 will have a survival rate of 80 percent of the original count. Volunteers can account for 10 percent of the total if present. Noxious weeds such as Himalayan blackberry, Reed canary grass, and other invasives will not have more than 20 percent aerial coverage of the planting area. Japanese knotweed, yellow flag iris, or hogweed will have a zero percent tolerance and be removed or sprayed during the monitoring period using an appropriate herbicide approved for aquatic use by a licensed applicator.

Because of the existence of Reed canary grass, the area will be hand pulled around the plants and remulched if necessary and if this is not aggressive enough, other actions may be considered in the integrated pest management plan.

3.7 Contingency Plans

If the site does not meet performance standards. Contingencies may be developed to adapt to the site-specific conditions. Contingencies may include:

- Increased watering
- Mulching
- Integrated Pest Management
- Microtopography changes
- Species substitution
- Herbivory protection
- Bark wrap

The area is frequented by Elk and the choice of plants were chosen to avoid herbivory issues, but exclusion fencing (Net Tubes) may be necessary until the plants reach maturity. This is not expected to be needed to be a permanent fixture if required. Any contingencies will be developed in conjunction with landscapers, nursery staff, and other experts. The city would be notified in advance of the

contingency plans. No contingencies will be applied without city consent.

Table 2 – Wet Zone #1 (16,565 sq ft)


Common Name	Species	Quantity	Spacing	Cost	Total
Sitka willow	*Salix sitchensis*	40	15 ft oc	$10.00	$400.00
Paper birch	*Betula papyrifera*	20	10 ft oc	$10.00	$200.00
Pacific ninebark	*Physocarpa capitatus*	20	8 ft oc	$10.00	$200.00
Twinberry	*Lonicera involucrata*	40	8 ft oc	$10.00	$400.00
Salmonberry	*Rubus spectabilis*	40	8 ft oc	$10.00	$400.00
Nootka rose	*Rosa nutkana*	50	15 ft oc	$5.00	$250.00
Red osier dogwood	*Cornus alba*	20	5 ft oc	$10.00	$200.00
Total		**230**			**$2050.00**

Table 3 - Buffer Mitigation (14,740 sq ft)

Common Name	Species	Quantity	Spacing	Cost	Total
Western red cedar	*Thuja plicata*	20	15 ft oc	$10.00	$200.00
Quaking aspen	*Populus tremuloides*	40	10 ft oc		$400.00
Snowberry	*Symphoricarpos alba*	50	5 ft oc	$10.00	$500.00
Red flowering currant	*Ribes sanguineum*	20	8 ft oc	$10.00	$200.00
Oceanspray	*Holodiscus discolor*	20	8 ft oc	$10.00	200
Total		**130**			**$1,300.00**

Table 4- Total Costs

Labor		$5000.00
Mulch	$100/5 yards	$100.00
Monitoring w/report (5 years)	250.00/yr	$1250.00
Plants and Materials		$2350.00
Total		**$8700.00**






Figure 6 and 6A – Planting Zones and Placements

9.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

One regulated wetland, Wetland A, was identified onsite. This wetland was rated and determined to be a Category IV wetland with a 25-foot buffer and a fifteen foot building setback. Elk habitat was identified on the site as a sensitive fish and wildlife conservation habitat area.

The project is to build condominiums of 8 four-plex units, 2 triplex units, and 5 duplex units, totaling 48 units All of the townhomes, parking, driveways and utilities will be located outside of any wetlands, and there will be mitigation for buffers impacts which should result in no-net-loss of wetland ecological functions. Likewise, an elk habitat management plan was developed to maintain the elk connectivity to other areas and maintain awareness of their special value to the community.

In order to maximize the units and the community benefit, a buffer reduction has been proposed. This is allowed in code as the wetland functions have been degraded due to agricultural practices and a plan to improve wetland functions and values has been developed.

This development should provide affordable housing and coexist with the natural environment and resources that are so important to maintaining the quality of life for the ‘citizens of Sequim.

10.0 LIMITATIONS

This report was created with care and best professional judgment using the currently accepted best available science, but the report is subject to interpretation by local state and federal regulators who have the final regulatory authority on wetlands and other critical area boundary determinations. No outcomes are warranted by this report.

12.0 REFERENCE

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior. FWSOBS-70/31.

Environmental Laboratory. 1987. *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual*. Technical Report Y-87-1, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss.

Federal Geographic Data Committee. 2013. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. FGDC-STD-004-2013. Second Edition. Wetlands Subcommittee, Federal Geographic Data Committee and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC.

Hitchcock, C.L., and A. Cronquist. 1973. *Flora of the Pacific Northwest*. University of Washington Press. 730 pp.

Hruby, T. (2014). Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington: 2014 Update. (Publication #14-06-029). Olympia, WA: Washington Department of Ecology.

Iowa State University. 1995. Hydric Soils of Washington State. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. December 5.

Lichvar, R.W., D.L. Banks, W.N. Kirchner, and N.C. Melvin. 2016.
The National Wetland Plant List: 2016 wetland ratings.
Phytoneuron 2016-30: 1-17. Published 28 April 2016. ISSN 2153 733X

Munsell Color. 1988. *Munsell Soil Color Charts*. Kollmorgen Instruments Corp., Baltimore, Maryland.

National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils (NTCHS). 2015. The hydric soil technical standard. Hydric Soils Technical Note 11. https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_ DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_051608.pdf (accessed 19 September 2016).

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States, Version 7.0. G.W. Hurt and L.M. Vasilas (eds.). USDA,NRCS, in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2.0), ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-10-3. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

USDA, NRCS. 2016. The PLANTS Database (http://plants.usda.gov, 5/28/2017). National Plant Data Team, Greensboro, NC 27401-4901 USA. http://plants.usda.gov

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1973. *National Wetlands Inventory Map, Lacey Quadrangle.*

Washington State Department of Ecology. 2014. Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington. Ecology Publication # 04-06-025. August.2014

Washington Department of Ecology. 2012. Water Quality Assessment for Washington. Accessed April 30, 2017. http//fortress.wa.gov/ecy/wqamapviewer/default.aspx?res-1280x720

Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Seattle District, and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10. March 2006. Wetland Mitigation in Washington State – Part 1: Agency

Policies and Guidance (Version 1). Washington State Department of Ecology Publication #06-06-011a. Olympia, WA.

Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Seattle District, and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10. March 2006. Wetland Mitigation in Washington State – Part 2: Developing Mitigation Plans (Version 1). Washington State Department of Ecology Publication #06-06-011b. Olympia, WA.

Washington State Department of Natural Resources. 1994. *Endangered, Threatened and Sensitive Vascular Plants of Washington*.

Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife. 1999. Species of concern: State candidate species. WDFW. Olympia, WA.

Appendix A - Photographs


LSNW
Habitat BROWNFIELD RD
11.17.2022 01:02 PM
48°4'17.81"N 123°6'4.8"W
100 Brownfield Rd, Sequim, WA 98382, USA


LSNW
Habitat BROWNFIELD RD
11.17.2022 01:02 PM
48°4'17.82"N 123°6'4.81"W
100 Brownfield Rd, Sequim, WA 98382, USA


LSNW
Habitat BROWNFIELD RD
11.17.2022 01:02 PM
48°4'17.84"N 123°6'4.86"W
100 Brownfield Rd, Sequim, WA 98382, USA


LSNW
Habitat BROWNFIELD RD
11.17.2022 01:02 PM
48°4'17.81"N 123°6'4.83"W
100 Brownfield Rd, Sequim, WA 98382, USA


LSNW
Habitat BROWNFIELD RD
11.17.2022 01:02 PM
48°4'17.95"N 123°6'4.75"W
100 Brownfield Rd, Sequim, WA 98382, USA


LSNW
Habitat BROWNFIELD RD
11.17.2022 01:35 PM
48°4'17.26"N 123°6'5.66"W
100 Brownfield Rd, Sequim, WA 98382, USA


LSNW
Habitat BROWNFIELD RD
11.17.2022 02:25 PM
48°4'17.88"N 123°6'1.79"W
100 Brownfield Rd, Sequim, WA 98382, USA



LSNW
Habitat BROWNFIELD RD
11.17.2022 03:09 PM
48°4'16.88"N 123°5'58.82"W
141 Alpine Loop, Sequim, WA 98382, USA


LSNW
Habitat BROWNFIELD RD
Drainage
11.17.2022 03:09 PM
48°4'16.91"N 123°5'58.67"W
141 Alpine Loop, Sequim, WA 98382, USA



LSNW
Habitat BROWNFIELD RD
Tp1
11.17.2022 01:35 PM
48°4'17.26"N 123°6'5.66"W
100 Brownfield Rd, Sequim, WA 98382, USA


LSNW
Habitat BROWNFIELD RD
Tp2
11.17.2022 01:49 PM
48°4'16.91"N 123°6'5.53"W
100 Brownfield Rd, Sequim, WA 98382, USA

Appendix B - U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NWI MAP



Appendix C - Clallam County NRCS Soil Survey Map



Soil Map—Clallam County Area, Washington

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)
- Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils
- Soil Map Unit Polygons
- Soil Map Unit Lines
- Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features
- Blowout
- Borrow Pit
- Clay Spot
- Closed Depression
- Gravel Pit
- Gravelly Spot
- Landfill
- Lava Flow
- Marsh or swamp
- Mine or Quarry
- Miscellaneous Water
- Perennial Water
- Rock Outcrop
- Saline Spot
- Sandy Spot
- Severely Eroded Spot
- Sinkhole
- Slide or Slip
- Sodic Spot
- Spoil Area
- Stony Spot
- Very Stony Spot
- Wet Spot
- Other
- Special Line Features

Water Features
- Streams and Canals

Transportation
- Rails
- Interstate Highways
- US Routes
- Major Roads
- Local Roads

Background
- Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Clallam County Area, Washington
Survey Area Data: Version 20, Aug 30, 2022

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jul 27, 2020—Jul 28, 2020

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey
National Cooperative Soil Survey

11/28/2022
Page 2 of 3

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
12	Clallam gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 15 percent slopes	10.5	65.7%
75	Yeary gravelly loam, 0 to 15 percent slopes	5.5	34.3%
Totals for Area of Interest		**16.0**	**100.0%**


Appendix D-USGS 7.5 Minute Topographic Map



N LSNW Land Services Northwest 120 State Avenue NE PMB 190 Olympia, WA 98501	Appendix D USGS Topo Map	0 375 750 1,500 Feet

Appendix E-WDFW Priority Habitat and Species and Salmonscape

Priority Habitats and Species on the Web



Buffer radius: 330 Feet

Report Date: 11/29/2022

PHS Species/Habitats Overview:

Occurence Name	Federal Status	State Status	Sensitive Location
Coho	N/A	N/A	No
Roosevelt elk	N/A	N/A	No
Freshwater Emergent Wetland	N/A	N/A	No
Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland	N/A	N/A	No
Northern Spotted Owl	Threatened	Endangered	Yes

PHS Species/Habitats Details:

Coho	
Scientific Name	*Oncorhynchus kisutch*
Priority Area	Occurrence/Migration
Accuracy	NA
Notes	LLID: 1230844480785, Fish Name: Coho Salmon, Run Time: Unknown or not Applicable, Life History: Anadromous
Source Record	56766
Source Dataset	SWIFD
Federal Status	N/A
State Status	N/A
PHS Listing Status	PHS Listed Occurrence
Sensitive	N
SGCN	N
Display Resolution	AS MAPPED
More Info	http://wdfw.wa.gov/wlm/diversty/soc/soc.htm
Geometry Type	Lines

Roosevelt elk	
Scientific Name	*Cervus elaphus roosevelti*
Priority Area	Regular Concentration
Site Name	SEQUIM
Accuracy	General locality
Notes	SEQUIM CRUCIAL WINTER RANGE.
Source Record	918538
Source Dataset	PHSREGION
Source Name	SCHIRATO, GREG WDFW
Source Entity	WA Dept. of Fish and Wildlife
Federal Status	N/A
State Status	N/A
PHS Listing Status	PHS LISTED OCCURRENCE
Sensitive	N
SGCN	N
Display Resolution	AS MAPPED
ManagementRecommendations	http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/pub.php?id=00614
Geometry Type	Polygons


Freshwater Emergent Wetland	
Priority Area	Aquatic Habitat
Site Name	N/A
Accuracy	NA
Notes	Wetland System: Freshwater Emergent Wetland - NWI Code: PEM1C
Source Dataset	NWIWetlands
Source Name	Not Given
Source Entity	US Fish and Wildlife Service
Federal Status	N/A
State Status	N/A
PHS Listing Status	PHS Listed Occurrence
Sensitive	N
SGCN	N
Display Resolution	AS MAPPED
ManagementRecommendations	http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/wetlands/bas/index.html
Geometry Type	Polygons

Freshwater Emergent Wetland	
Priority Area	Aquatic Habitat
Site Name	N/A
Accuracy	NA
Notes	Wetland System: Freshwater Emergent Wetland - NWI Code: PEM1C
Source Dataset	NWIWetlands
Source Name	Not Given
Source Entity	US Fish and Wildlife Service
Federal Status	N/A
State Status	N/A
PHS Listing Status	PHS Listed Occurrence
Sensitive	N
SGCN	N
Display Resolution	AS MAPPED
ManagementRecommendations	http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/wetlands/bas/index.html
Geometry Type	Polygons


Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland	
Priority Area	Aquatic Habitat
Site Name	N/A
Accuracy	NA
Notes	Wetland System: Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland - NWI Code: PFO1A
Source Dataset	NWIWetlands
Source Name	Not Given
Source Entity	US Fish and Wildlife Service
Federal Status	N/A
State Status	N/A
PHS Listing Status	PHS Listed Occurrence
Sensitive	N
SGCN	N
Display Resolution	AS MAPPED
ManagementRecommendations	http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/wetlands/bas/index.html
Geometry Type	Polygons

Northern Spotted Owl	
Scientific Name	*Strix occidentalis*
Notes	This polygon mask represents one or more records of the above species or habitat occurrence. Contact PHS Data Release (360-902-2543) for obtaining information about masked sensitive species and habitats.
Federal Status	Threatened
State Status	Endangered
PHS Listing Status	PHS Listed Occurrence
Sensitive	Y
SGCN	Y
Display Resolution	TOWNSHIP
ManagementRecommendations	http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/pub.php?id=00026


DISCLAIMER. This report includes information that the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) maintains in a central computer database. It is not an attempt to provide you with an official agency response as to the impacts of your project on fish and wildlife. This information only documents the location of fish and wildlife resources to the best of our knowledge. It is not a complete inventory and it is important to note that fish and wildlife resources may occur in areas not currently known to WDFW biologists, or in areas for which comprehensive surveys have not been conducted. Site specific surveys are frequently necesssary to rule out the presence of priority resources. Locations of fish and wildlife resources are subject to variation caused by disturbance, changes in season and weather, and other factors. WDFW does not recommend using reports more than six months old.


Map Controls
Layers
Legend
Active (3)
Tools
Fish Distribution
Coho Streams
Documented Spawning
Documented Rearing
Documented Presence
Documented-Artificial, Spawning
Documented-Artificial, Rearing
Documented-Artificial, Presence
Transported Spawning
Transported Rearing
Transported Presence
Presumed Presence
Potential: Blocked
Gradient Accessible
Documented Historic Presence
Hydrography
NHD Water Courses
Coastline
Stream / Perennial
Intermittent / Ephemeral
Canal, Ditch
NHD Water Bodies
Swamp, Marsh
W Hemlock St
W Pine St
Pacific Coast Scenic Bywy
101
200 ft
222 ft
E Brownfield Rd
W Brownfield Rd
The Hideaway
S 3rd Ave
Sycamore St
S Sequim Ave
Alpine Loop
Craftsman Ct
Miller Rd
Rue Lavande Ln
Opal Ln
Emerald Highlands Way
Onyx Ln
Topaz Way
Coral Dr
Token Ln
N
0 300 600ft
Bureau of Land

Appendix F-WA Department of Natural Resources Forest Practices Stream Type Map



Appendix G-NOAA NOW Precipitation DATA



Appendix H-Wetland Data Sheets

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat | City/County: Sequim, Clallam County | Sampling Date: 11.17.11
Applicant/Owner: Habitat for Humanity of Clallam County | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP1
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	x	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	x	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	x

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes x No x**

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Rubus armeniacus*	5	Y	FAC
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	5 = Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Phalaris arundinacea*	20	Y	FACW
2. *Agrostis capillaris*	30	Y	FAC
3. *Hordeum brachyantherum*	2	N	FACW
4. *Rubus ursinus*	5	N	FACU
5. *Festuca rubra*	35	Y	FAC
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	92 = Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes x No**

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP1

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-14	10YR3/2	95	10YR3/6	5			Silt loam	
14-18	10YR4/2	90	10YR4/6	10			Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** x **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: No hydrology found. Checked again in February.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP1A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): hillslope | Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave | Slope (%): 2
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam gravelly sandy loam | NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	X

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ___ No X

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Phalaris arundinacea*	95	Y	FACW
2. *Agrostis capillaris*	5	N	FAC
3. *Sonchus arvensis*			FACU
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No ___

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR3/1						Silt loam	
6-18	10YR4/2	98	10YR3/6	2			Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- X Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** X

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat | City/County: Sequim, Clallam County | Sampling Date: 11.17.11
Applicant/Owner: Habitat for Humanity of Clallam County | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP2
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	___	No	x
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	___	No	x
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	___	No	x

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: ___)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	___ = Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: ___)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	___ = Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: ___)			
1. *Festuca rubra*	65	Y	FAC
2. *Sonchus arvensis*	35	Y	FACU
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	100 = Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: ___)			
1.			
2.			
	___ = Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum ___

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species	65	x 3 =	195
FACU species	35	x 4 =	140
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	100 (A)		335 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.35

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP2

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-8	10YR4/3	100					Silt loam	
8-16	10YR4/2	100					Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP2A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave | Slope (%): 2
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam gravellyy sandy loam | NWI classification: Nine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	X	No	

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes X No**

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Phalaris arundinacea*	100	Y	FACW
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes X No**

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR3/2	100					Silt loam	
6-18	10YR4/1	100					Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- X High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes X No ___ Depth (inches): 18

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat | City/County: Sequim, Clallam County | Sampling Date: 11.17.11
Applicant/Owner: Habitat for Humanity of Clallam County | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP3
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	x	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	x	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	x

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Hordeum brachyantherum*	45	Y	FACW
2. *Agrostis capillaris*	65	Y	FAC
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	100 = Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** x **No** ___

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP3

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-8	10YR3/2	100					Silt loam	
8-18	10YR5/2	85	10YR5/6	15			Gravelly loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** x **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- x Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- x Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: No hydro found rechecked in February still no hydro.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP3A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillslope | Local relief (concave, convex, convex | Slope (%): 2
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam gravelly sany loam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	X	No	

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes X No**

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Phalaris arundinacea*	100	Y	FACW
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes X No**

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-14	10YR3/1	100					Silt loam	
14-18	10YR4/2	90	10YR4/6	10			Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- X Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- X High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes X No ___ Depth (inches): 16

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat | City/County: Sequim, Clallam County | Sampling Date: 11.17.11
Applicant/Owner: Habitat for Humanity of Clallam County | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP4
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	x	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	x	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	x	No	

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes x No

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Phalaris arundinacea*	25	Y	FACW
2. *Hordeum brachyantherum*	65	Y	FACW
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	90 = Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes x No

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP4

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-18	10YR3/1	100					Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** x **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- x Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- x Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP4A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): Convex | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	X	No	

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes X No

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Phalaris arundinacea*	100	Y	FACW
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: X (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-18	10YR2/1	100					Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- X High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes X No ___ Depth (inches): 15

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat | City/County: Sequim, Clallam County | Sampling Date: 11.17.11
Applicant/Owner: Habitat for Humanity of Clallam County | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP5
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	x	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	x
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	x

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Phalaris arundinacea*	35	Y	FACW
2. *Hordeum brachyantherum*	15	Y	FACW
3. *Festuca rubra*	55	Y	FAC
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	105 = Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum ___

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** x **No** ___

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP5

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-14	10YR4/2	100					Gravelly loam	
`14-18	10YR5/2	85	10YR5/6	15			Gravelly loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP5A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave | Slope (%): 3
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam gravelly sandy loam | NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	X

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ___ No X

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Phalaris arundinacea*	65	Y	FACW
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No ___

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-18	10YR3/1							

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** X

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat | City/County: Sequim, Clallam County | Sampling Date: 11.17.11
Applicant/Owner: Habitat for Humanity of Clallam County | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP6
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	x	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	x	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	x

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Hordeum brachyantherum*	45	Y	FACW
2. *Phalaris arundinacea*	55	Y	FACW
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	100 = Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** x **No** ___

Remarks: Disturbed area

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP6

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-10	10YR3/2	100					Silt loam	
10-18	10YR4/2	90	10YR4/6	10			Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** x **No** ___

Remarks: Hydric soils may be relect. Ditch effective.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: No hydro found rechecked in February to verify. Area ditched probably drained

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP6A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Callam gravelly sandy loam | NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	X
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	X

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes X No**

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Phalaris arundinacea*	100	Y	FACW
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes X No**

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP 6a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-18	10YR3/2	100					Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** ___ **No** **X**

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** **X**

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat | City/County: Sequim, Clallam County | Sampling Date: 11.17.11
Applicant/Owner: Habitat for Humanity of Clallam County | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP7
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam n | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	x	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	x
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	x

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Festuca rubra*	25	Y	FAC
2. *Schedonorus arundinaceus*	25	Y	FAC
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	50 = Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** x **No** ___

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP7

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-16	10YR4/3	100					Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No x Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** x

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: No hydro or signs of hydro found.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP7A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): None | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Callam gravelly sandy loam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	X

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes** **No** **X**

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Phalaris arundinacea*	75	Y	FACW
2. *Agrostis capillaris*	25	Y	FAC
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **X** **No**

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP 7a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-18	10YR3/1	100					Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** X

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP8A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): NOne | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Callam gravelly sandy loam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	X
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	X

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes** ___ **No** **X**

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Phalaris arundinacea*	100	Y	FACW
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **X** **No** ___

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP **8a**

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-10	10YR3/2	100					Silt loam	
10-18	10YR42	85	10YR4/6	15			Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** ___ **No** **X**

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** **X**

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP9A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillslope | Local relief (concave, convex, none): | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallum gravelly sandy loam | NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	X	No	

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes X No**

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Phalaris arundinacea*	100	Y	FACW
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes X No**

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP **9a**

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-8	10YR3/1	100					Silt loam	
8-18	10YR4/2	85	10YR4/6	15			Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- X Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- x High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes X No ___ Depth (inches): 9

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP10A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam gravelly sandy loam | NWI classification: NOne
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	X
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	X

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes** ___ **No** **X**

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Phalaris arundinacea*	100	Y	FACW
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **X** **No** ___

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP **10A**

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-14	10YR3/2	100					Silt loam	
14-18	10YR4/2	85	10YR4/6	15			Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** ___ **No** **X**

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** **X**

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: No hydrology or signs of hydrology

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP11A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hill | Local relief (concave, convex, none): None | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Gravelly sandy loam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	X	No	

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes X No**

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Agrostis capallaris*	65	Y	FAC
2. *Vicia americana*	10	N	FAC
3. *Holcus lanatus*	25	Y	FAC
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes X No**

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP **11a**

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-14	10YR4/1	100					Silt loam	
14-18	10YR4/2	85	10YR4/6	15			Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- X High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes X No ___ Depth (inches): 14

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP12A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): hill | Local relief (concave, convex, none): | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam Gravelly sandy loam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	X	No	

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes X No**

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Festuca rubra*	15	N	FAC
2. *Phalaris arundinacea*	10	N	FACW
3. *Holcus lanatus*	75	Y	FAC
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes X No**

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP **12a**

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-14	10YR2/1						Clay loam	
14-18	10YR4/2	80	10YR4/6	20			Clay loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- X Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** **X** **No** ____

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- X High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes X No ___ Depth (inches): 16

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** **X** **No** ____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP13A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): Hillslope | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam gravelly sandy loam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	X

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes** ___ **No** **X**

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Festuca rubra*	50	Y	FAC
2. *Holcus lanatus*	50	Y	FAC
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **X** **No** ___

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP 13A

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-14	10YR2/1	100					Clay loam	
14-18	10YR4/2	80	10RYR4/6	20			Clay loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** X

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP14A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range: 29/30/3W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): none | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clallam | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	X

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes** ___ **No** **X**

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Holcus lanatus*	95	Y	FAC
2. *Festuca rubra*	5	N	FAC
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **X** **No** ___

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP **14a**

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR2/1	100					Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** X

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Habitat for Humanity | City/County: Clallam | Sampling Date: 4/8/2023
Applicant/Owner: | State: WA | Sampling Point: TP15A
Investigator(s): Alex Callender | Section, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): | Local relief (concave, convex, none): | Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR): 2 | Lat: | Long: | Datum: Wgs84
Soil Map Unit Name: | NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No ___ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No ___
Are Vegetation ___ , Soil ___ , or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	X	No	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	X

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes** ___ **No** **X**

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. *Holcus lanatus*	85	Y	FAC
2. *Phalaris arundinacea*	15	N	FACW
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.			
2.			
	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	
FACW species		x 2 =	
FAC species		x 3 =	
FACU species		x 4 =	
UPL species		x 5 =	
Column Totals:	(A)		(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **X** **No** ___

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: TP **15a**

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR2/1	100					Silt loam	
6-18	10YR5/2	90	10YR5/8	10			Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**except MLRA 1**)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** X **No** ___

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Salt Crust (B11)
- ___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (**MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B**)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ___ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (**LRR A**)
- ___ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____


Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ___ No X Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ___ **No** X

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: No indicators of hydro found

Appendix I Wetland Rating Form for Western Washington



Land Services Northwest
120 State Avenue NE PMB 190
Olympia, WA 98501
360-481-4208

N
LSNW

1KM Land Use Intensity
Parcel # 033029229020

0 550 1,100 2,200 Feet

Land Use Calculations

	ACRES	%	
1KM	920		
Wetland A	1.1		
1KM-Wetland A	918.9	100	
High Intensity	390	0.424421	42.44205
Relatively Undisturbed	39	0.042442	4.244205
Low Medium Use	489.9	0.533137	53.31374
Accessible Habitat	119	0.129348	
Wetland A	1.1		
Accessible Habitat-Wet A	117.9	0.128306	
RU	7	0.007618	0.76178
Low/Medium LU	100.3	0.109166	10.9166
High Intensity	10.6	0.011522	1.152174



Land Services Northwest
120 State Avenue NE PMB 190
Olympia, WA 98501
360-481-4208


N
LSNW

150ft Land Use
Parcel # 033029229020

0 65 130 260 Feet

January 20, 2023

303d Water Quality Atlas Map



Assessed Water/Sediment

Water
- Category 5 - 303d
- Category 4C
- Category 4B
- Category 4A
- Category 2
- Category 1

Sediment
- Category 5 - 303d
- Category 4C
- Category 4B
- Category 4A
- Category 2
- Category 1

Urban growth areas
- UGA boundary

Parcels
- Parcel boundary

Subbasins (12 digit HUCs)
- HUC boundary


Miles
0 0.23 0.45 0.9

Esri, NASA, NGA, USGS, FEMA
Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
State of Washington

February 3, 2023

Contributing Basin



WQ Improvement Projects
- Approved
- In Development

Parcels
- Parcel boundary

Subbasins (12 digit HUCs)
- HUC boundary

Miles
0 0.05 0.1 0.2

Esri, NASA, NGA, USGS, FEMA
Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
State of Washington



Land Services Northwest
120 State Avenue NE PMB 190
Olympia, WA 98501
360-481-4208

N
LSNW

Cowardin Class

0 75 150 300 Feet



Land Services Northwest
120 State Avenue NE PMB 190
Olympia, WA 98501
360-481-4208

LSNW

Dense RIGID Vegetation

0 65 130 260 Feet



Land Services Northwest
120 State Avenue NE PMB 190
Olympia, WA 98501
360-481-4208

LSNW

Hydroperiod Classification

0 65 130 260 Feet

RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington

Name of wetland (or ID #): Wetland A Date of site visit: 1122/2022

Rated by Alex Callender Trained by Ecology? ☑ Yes ☐ No Date of training Dec-13

HGM Class used for rating Slope Wetland has multiple HGM classes? ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Form is not complete with out the figures requested (*figures can be combined*).
Source of base aerial photo/map 2018 Geodata

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY IV (based on functions ☑ or special characteristics ☐)

1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

_____ **Category I** - Total score = 23 - 27
_____ **Category II** - Total score = 20 - 22
_____ **Category III** - Total score = 16 - 19
X **Category IV** - Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	Improving Water Quality	Hydrologic	Habitat	
	List appropriate rating (H, M, L)			
Site Potential	L	L	L	
Landscape Potential	M	L	L	
Value	H	M	L	**Total**
Score Based on Ratings	6	4	3	**13**

Score for each function based on three ratings (*order of ratings is not important*)

9 = H, H, H
8 = H, H, M
7 = H, H, L
7 = H, M, M
6 = H, M, L
6 = M, M, M
5 = H, L, L
5 = M, M, L
4 = M, L, L
3 = L, L, L

2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	Category
Estuarine	
Wetland of High Conservation Value	
Bog	
Mature Forest	
Old Growth Forest	
Coastal Lagoon	
Interdunal	
None of the above	**X**

Maps and Figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	
Location of outlet (*can be added to map of hydroperiods*)	D 1.1, D 4.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (*can be added to another figure*)	D 2.2, D 5.2	
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	

Riverine Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (*can be added to another figure*)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (*can be added to another figure*)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	Cowardin
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	Dense Vegetati
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (*can be added to another figure*)	L 2.2	150ft
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	1KM
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	303d
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	TMDL

Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	Cowardin
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	Hydro
Plant cover of **dense** trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	dense rigid cove
Plant cover of **dense, rigid** trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants (*can be added to another figure*)	S 4.1	dense rigid cove
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (*can be added to another figure*)	S 2.1, S 5.1	150ft
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	1KM
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	303d
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	TMDL

HGM Classification of Wetland in Western Washington

For questions 1 -7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.
If hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1 - 7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?

☑ NO - go to 2 ☐ **YES** - the wetland class is **Tidal Fringe** - go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts per thousand)?

☑ **NO - Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine)** ☐ **YES - Freshwater Tidal Fringe**
*If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for **Riverine** wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe it is an **Estuarine** wetland and is not scored. This method **cannot** be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.*

2. The entire wetland unit is flat and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.

☑ NO - go to 3 ☐ **YES** - The wetland class is **Flats**
*If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for **Depressional** wetlands.*

3. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
- ☐ The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size;
- ☐ At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).

☑ NO - go to 4 ☐ **YES** - The wetland class is **Lake Fringe** (Lacustrine Fringe)

4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
- ☑ The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*),
- ☑ The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks.
- ☑ The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded.**

☐ NO - go to 5 ☑ **YES** - The wetland class is **Slope**

NOTE: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep).

5. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
- ☐ The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river,
- ☐ The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

☑ NO - go to 6 ☐ **YES** - The wetland class is **Riverine**

NOTE: The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding.

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? *This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.*

☑ NO - go to 7 ☐ **YES** - The wetland class is **Depressional**

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched, but has no obvious natural outlet.

☑ NO - go to 8 ☐ **YES** - The wetland class is **Depressional**

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

NOTE: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit being rated	HGM class to use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream within boundary of depression	Depressional
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other class of freshwater wetland	Treat as ESTUARINE

If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have ***more than 2 HGM classes*** *within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.*

SLOPE WETLANDS

Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality

S 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?	
S 1.1. Characteristics of the average slope of the wetland: (*a 1% slope has a 1 ft vertical drop in elevation for every 100 ft of horizontal distance*) Slope is 1% or less — points = 3 Slope is > 1% - 2% — points = 2 Slope is > 2% - 5% — points = 1 Slope is greater than 5% — points = 0	2
S 1.2. The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (*use NRCS definitions*): Yes = 3 No = 0	0
S 1.3. Characteristics of the plants in the wetland that trap sediments and pollutants: Choose the points appropriate for the description that best fits the plants in the wetland. *Dense means you have trouble seeing the soil surface (>75% cover), and uncut means not grazed or mowed and plants are higher than 6 in.* Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > 90% of the wetland area — points = 6 Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > ½ of area — points = 3 Dense, woody, plants > ½ of area — points = 2 Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > ¼ of area — points = 1 Does not meet any of the criteria above for plants — points = 0	3
Total for S 1 — Add the points in the boxes above	**5**

Rating of Site Potential If score is: ☐ **12 = H** ☐ **6 - 11 = M** ☑ **0 - 5 = L** *Record the rating on the first page*

S 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?	
S 2.1. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft on the uphill side of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
S 2.2. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in question S 2.1? Other Sources Yes = 1 No = 0	0
Total for S 2 — Add the points in the boxes above	**1**

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: ☑ **1 - 2 = M** ☐ **0 = L** *Record the rating on the first page*

S 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?	
S 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
S 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where water quality is an issue? *At least one aquatic resource in the basin is on the 303(d) list.* Yes = 1 No = 0	1
S 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality? A*nswer YES if there is a TMDL for the basin in which the unit is found*? Yes = 2 No = 0	2
Total for S 3 — Add the points in the boxes above	**4**

Rating of Value If score is: ☑ **2 - 4 = H** ☐ **1 = M** ☐ **0 = L** *Record the rating on the first page*

SLOPE WETLANDS

Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream erosion

S 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and stream erosion?	
S 4.1. Characteristics of plants that reduce the velocity of surface flows during storms: Choose the points appropriate for the description that best fits conditions in the wetland. *Stems of plants should be thick enough (usually > 1/8 in), or dense enough, to remain erect during surface flows.*	0
Dense, uncut, **rigid** plants cover > 90% of the area of the wetland — points = 1	
All other conditions — points = 0	

Rating of Site Potential If score is: ☐ **1 = M** ☑ **0 = L** *Record the rating on the first page*

S 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support hydrologic functions of the site?	
S 5.1. Is more than 25% of the area within 150 ft upslope of wetland in land uses or cover that generate excess surface runoff? Yes = 1 No = 0	0

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: ☐ **1 = M** ☑ **0 = L** *Record the rating on the first page*

S 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?	
S 6.1. Distance to the nearest areas downstream that have flooding problems:	1
The sub-basin immediately down-gradient of site has flooding problems that result in damage to human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds) — points = 2	
Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient — points = 1	
No flooding problems anywhere downstream — points = 0	
S 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan? Yes = 2 No = 0	0
Total for S 6 — Add the points in the boxes above	**1**

Rating of Value If score is: ☐ **2 - 4 = H** ☑ **1 = M** ☐ **0 = L** *Record the rating on the first page*

NOTES and FIELD OBSERVATIONS:

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.

HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat

H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?

H 1.1. Structure of plant community: *Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class.* Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. *Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked.*

- ☐ Aquatic bed
- ☑ Emergent
- ☐ Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover)
- ☐ Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover)

4 structures or more: points = 4
3 structures: points = 2
2 structures: points - 1
1 structure: points = 0

If the unit has a Forested class, check if:

- ☐ The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover) that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon

0

H 1.2. Hydroperiods

Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (*see text for descriptions of hydroperiods*).

- ☐ Permanently flooded or inundated
- ☑ Seasonally flooded or inundated
- ☐ Occasionally flooded or inundated
- ☑ Saturated only

4 or more types present: points = 3
3 types present: points = 2
2 types present: points = 1
1 types present: points = 0

- ☐ Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland
- ☐ Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland
- ☐ **Lake Fringe wetland** **2 points**
- ☐ **Freshwater tidal wetland** **2 points**

1

H 1.3. Richness of plant species


Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft^2.
Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. ***Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle***

If you counted:
- > 19 species — points = 2
- 5 - 19 species — points = 1
- < 5 species — points = 0


1

H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats


Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. *If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high.*



None = 0 points




Low = 1 point



Moderate = 2 points

All three diagrams in this row are **HIGH** = 3 points



0

H 1.5. Special habitat features: Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. *The number of checks is the number of points.* ☐ Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long) ☐ Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland ☐ Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) **and/or** overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m) ☐ Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (*cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed*) ☑ At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated (*structures for egg-laying by amphibians*) ☐ Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (*see H 1.1 for list of strata*)	1
Total for H 1 Add the points in the boxes above	**3**

Rating of Site Potential If Score is: ☐ **15 - 18 = H** ☐ **7 - 14 = M** ☑ **0 - 6 = L** *Record the rating on the first page*

H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat function of the site?	
H 2.1 Accessible habitat (include *only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit*). *Calculate:* 1 % undisturbed habitat + (11 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 6% If total accessible habitat is: > 1/3 (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3 20 - 33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2 10 - 19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1 < 10 % of 1 km Polygon points = 0	0
H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland. *Calculate:* 4 % undisturbed habitat + (53 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 30.5% Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and in 1-3 patches points = 2 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patches points = 1 Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0	1
H 2.3 Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If > 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (-2) ≤ 50% of 1km Polygon is high intensity points = 0	0
Total for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above	**1**

Rating of Landscape Potential If Score is: ☐ **4 - 6 = H** ☐ **1 - 3 = M** ☑ **< 1 = L** *Record the rating on the first page*

H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?	
H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? *Choose only the highest score that applies to the wetland being rated*. Site meets ANY of the following criteria: points = 2 ☐ It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page) ☐ It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the state or federal lists) ☐ It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species ☐ It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natural Resources ☐ It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive plan, in a Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) with in 100m points = 1	0

Site does not meet any of the criteria above	points = 0	

Rating of Value If Score is: ☐ **2 = H** ☐ **1 = M** ☑ **0 = L** *Record the rating on the first page*

WDFW Priority Habitats

Priority habitats listed by WDFW (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp.
http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf or access the list from here:
http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: ***NOTE**: This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.*

- ☐ **Aspen Stands**: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- ☐ **Biodiversity Areas and Corridors**: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report*).
- ☐ **Herbaceous Balds**: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- ☐ **Old-growth/Mature forests**: Old-growth west of Cascade crest – Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. Mature forests – Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.
- ☐ **Oregon White Oak**: Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 – see web link above*).
- ☐ **Riparian**: The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- ☐ **Westside Prairies**: Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 – see web link above*).
- ☐ **Instream**: The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.
- ☐ **Nearshore**: Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (*full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page*).
- ☐ **Caves**: A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- ☐ **Cliffs**: Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- ☐ **Talus**: Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 - 6.5 ft (0.15 - 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- ☐ **Snags and Logs**: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.

Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are

addressed elsewhere.

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Wetland Type *Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. List the category when the appropriate criteria are met.*	**Category**
SC 1.0. Estuarine Wetlands Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands? ☐ The dominant water regime is tidal, ☐ Vegetated, and ☐ With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt ☐ Yes - Go to **SC 1.1** ☐ No = **Not an estuarine wetland**	
SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? ☐ Yes = **Category I** ☐ No - Go to **SC 1.2**	
SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions? ☐ The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing, and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. (If non-native species are *Spartina*, see page 25) ☐ At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-mowed grassland. ☐ The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands. ☐ Yes = **Category I** ☐ No = **Category II**	
SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV) SC 2.1. Has the WA Department of Natural Resources updated their website to include the list of Wetlands of High Conservation Value? ☐ Yes - Go to **SC 2.2** ☐ No - Go to **SC 2.3** SC 2.2. Is the wetland listed on the WDNR database as a Wetland of High Conservation Value? ☐ Yes = **Category I** ☐ No = **Not WHCV** SC 2.3. Is the wetland in a Section/Township/Range that contains a Natural Heritage wetland? http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/datasearch/wnhpwetlands.pdf ☐ Yes - **Contact WNHP/WDNR and to SC 2.4** ☐ No = **Not WHCV** SC 2.4. Has WDNR identified the wetland within the S/T/R as a Wetland of High Conservation Value and listed it on their website? ☐ Yes = **Category I** ☐ No = **Not WHCV**	
SC 3.0. Bogs Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? *Use the key below.* ***If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions***. SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile? ☐ Yes - Go to **SC 3.3** ☐ No - Go to **SC 3.2** SC 3.2. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in deep over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on top of a lake or pond? ☐ Yes - Go to **SC 3.3** ☐ No = **Is not a bog** SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30% cover of plant species listed in Table 4? ☐ Yes = **Is a Category I bog** ☐ No - Go to **SC 3.4** **NOTE**: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16 in deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the plant species in Table 4 are present, the wetland is a bog. SC 3.4. Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar, western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Engelmann spruce, or western white pine, AND any of the species (or combination of species) listed	

in Table 4 provide more than 30% of the cover under the canopy?

☐ Yes = **Is a Category I bog** ☐ No = **Is not a bog**

SC 4.0. Forested Wetlands

Does the wetland have at least 1 contiguous acre of forest that meets one of these criteria for the WA Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as priority habitats? ***If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.***

☐ **Old-growth forests** (west of Cascade crest): Stands of at least two tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) that are at least 200 years of age OR have a diameter at breast height (dbh) of 32 in (81 cm) or more.

☐ **Mature forests** (west of the Cascade Crest): Stands where the largest trees are 80-200 years old OR the species that make up the canopy have an average diameter (dbh) exceeding 21 in (53 cm).

☐ Yes = **Category I** ☐ No = **Not a forested wetland for this section**

SC 5.0. Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons

Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon?

☐ The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks

☐ The lagoon in which the wetland is located contains ponded water that is saline or brackish (> 0.5 ppt) during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (*needs to be measured near the bottom*)

☐ Yes - Go to **SC 5.1** ☐ No = **Not a wetland in a coastal lagoon**

SC 5.1. Does the wetland meet all of the following three conditions?

☐ The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 20% cover of aggressive, opportunistic plant species (see list of species on p. 100).

☐ At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-mowed grassland.

☐ The wetland is larger than $^{1}/_{10}$ ac (4350 ft^2)

☐ Yes = **Category I** ☐ No = **Category II**

SC 6.0. Interdunal Wetlands

Is the wetland west of the 1889 line (also called the Western Boundary of Upland Ownership or WBUO)? ***If you answer yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its habitat functions.***

In practical terms that means the following geographic areas:

☐ Long Beach Peninsula: Lands west of SR 103

☐ Grayland-Westport: Lands west of SR 105

☐ Ocean Shores-Copalis: Lands west of SR 115 and SR 109

☐ Yes - Go to **SC 6.1** ☐ No = **Not an interdunal wetland for rating**

SC 6.1. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger and scores an 8 or 9 for the habitat functions on the form (rates H,H,H or H,H,M for the three aspects of function)?

☐ Yes = **Category I** ☐ No - Go to **SC 6.2**

SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger?

☐ Yes = **Category II** ☐ No - Go to **SC 6.3**

SC 6.3. Is the unit between 0.1 and 1 ac, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 ac?

☐ Yes = **Category III** ☐ No = **Category IV**

Category of wetland based on Special Characteristics

If you answered No for all types, enter "Not Applicable" on Summary Form